

### CITY CENTER REVITALIZATION PLANNING COMMITTEE AGENDA Friday, December 13, 2024 - 2:00 PM City Hall, Council Chambers, 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365

All public meetings of the City of Newport will be held in the City Council Chambers of the Newport City Hall, 169 SW Coast Highway, Newport. The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter, or for other accommodations, should be made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to Erik Glover, City Recorder at 541.574.0613, or e.glover@newportoregon.gov.

All meetings are live-streamed at https://newportoregon.gov, and broadcast on Charter Channel 190. Anyone wishing to provide written public comment should send the comment to publiccomment@newportoregon.gov. Public comment must be received four hours prior to a scheduled meeting. For example, if a meeting is to be held at 3:00 P.M., the deadline to submit written comment is 11:00 A.M. If a meeting is scheduled to occur before noon, the written submitted P.M. comment must be bv 5:00 the previous To provide virtual public comment during a city meeting, a request must be made to the meeting staff at least 24 hours prior to the start of the meeting. This provision applies only to public comment and presenters outside the area and/or unable to physically attend an in person meeting.

The agenda may be amended during the meeting to add or delete items, change the order of agenda items, or discuss any other business deemed necessary at the time of the meeting.

- 1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

3.A Approval of the City Center Revitalization Planning Committee Meeting Minutes of October 25, 2024.

Draft City Center Revitalization Mtg Minutes 10-25-2024 10-25-2024 City Center Revitalization Planning Committee Meeting Video Link

- 4. SCHEDULE REVIEW
- 5. PUBLIC EVENT 1 FEEDBACK RE: MEMO #4
- 6. CONSULTANT'S ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:
  - Review Project Objectives
  - Urban Design / Implementation Framework
    - Scenario / Scale / Growth
    - Code Implications
    - Strategy Implications
- 7. DISCUSSION
- 8. NEXT STEPS
- 9. PUBLIC COMMENT

This is an opportunity for members of the audience to bring to the Work Group's attention any item not listed on the agenda. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per person with a maximum of 15 minutes for all items. Speakers may not yield their time to others

10. ADJOURNMENT

### **HANDOUTS**

### Materials:

2024-12-10 Task 4-1 RevisedMemo-4 GapAnalysis 2024-12-10 Newport CCRP CAC#4

# City of Newport Draft City Center Revitalization Planning Committee Minutes October 25, 2024

**LOCATION:** CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, NEWPORT CITY HALL, 169 SW COAST HIGHWAY, NEWPORT Time Start: 2:05 P.M. Time End: 4:01 P.M.

### ATTENDANCE LOG/ROLLCALL

| COMMITTEE MEMBER                    | STAFF   |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Jack Weber (absent)                 | Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director |  |
| Wayne Patterson                     | Sherri Marineau, Community Development Dept.  |  |
| Jim Patrick                         | Rob Murphy, Fire Chief (absent)               |  |
| Luke Simonsen                       | Tom Sakaris, Fire Marshall (absent)           |  |
| Christina Simonsen                  | Cathey Rigby, Grant Manager (by video)        |  |
| Laurie Sanders                      | Anna laukea, Urban Renewal Project Manger     |  |
| Dustin Capri                        | Nina Vetter, City Manager                     |  |
| Karen Rockwell (by video)           | John Fuller, Communication Specialist         |  |
| Brett Estes (by video)              | Jim Hencke, DEA                               |  |
| Rich Belloni                        | David Berniker, UrbsWorks (by video)          |  |
| Melony Heim                         | Brandy Steffen, JLA                           |  |
| Eric Hanneman                       | David Helton, ODOT (by video)                 |  |
| Rev. Judith Jones (absent, excused) | Emily Picha, ECOnorthwest                     |  |
| Dr. Ralph Breitenstein (by video)   | Nicole Underwood, ECOnorthwest                |  |
| Timothy Johnson (absent)            | Angela Rogge, DEA Inc.                        |  |
| Bob Berman                          |   |  |
| Deb Jones (absent)                  | PUBLIC  |  |
| Cynthia Jacobi                      | Jeff Bertuleit                                |  |
| Robert Emond (absent)               | Lou Limbrunner                                |  |
| Dennis White (by video)             | Marcus Limbrunner                             |  |
|                                     |   |  |

| AGENDA ITEM  | ACTIONS  |  |
|--|--|--|
| COMMITTEE MEMBER INTRODUCTIONS   |  |  |
| a. Roll Call   | None.  |  |
| APPROVAL OF MINUTES  |  |  |
| a. Meeting minutes of City Center<br>Revitalization Planning Committee Meeting<br>on August 23, 2024 | Motion by Berman, seconded by Patrick to approve the City Center Revitalization Planning Committee Meeting on August 23, 2024 as written. Motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.  |  |
| GAP ANALYSIS   | Hencke reviewed the overall redevelopment potential and the barriers for development in the City Center; the vision statement and goals of the redevelopment of the city center; and the ODOT standards and urban best practices for mixed frontages and multi-family frontages. |  |

### STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE GAPS Hencke went over Alternative 1 for a two-way Highway 101 with bikes routed on SW 9th Street. The Committee asked questions on considerations for left turn lanes; adding green spaces, buffer zones and sidewalks; how travel lanes would transition; and bike routes and their connections. Hencke reviewed Alternative 2 for a Highway 101 and SW 9th Street couplet. The Committee discussed routing for traffic; if there was a need to protect turn lanes on a one way couplet; questions on if traffic signals were warranted with ODOT; if bulb outs were a feasible option; the different sections for the couplets; and bike routes for couplets. Hencke went over parking impacts for the two alternatives. Discussions included the parking impact comparison of alternatives: considerations to add parking to wider streets; Farmers' Market opportunities; closing streets for events; considering angled parking instead of parallel; and adding a traffic light at Hurbert and 9th Street. Underwood reviewed the implementation for the Highway 101 corridor and its goals. The Committee discussed opportunities to get protected bike lanes at Moore Drive moving east on Highway 20; widening the west end corridor of Highway 20; funding sources for alternatives: and issues for service deliveries for couplets. Hencke reviewed the evaluation of the couplets and asked the Committee for their thoughts for their preference on alternatives. Committee members expressed an interest in seeing what the public had to say before voting on a preference: discussed their concerns on a short couplet versus a long couplet; and questioned if Urban Renewal funds could be used for a long couplet. Ms. Berniker gave an overview of the public outreach **PUBLIC OUTREACH #1** events that were planned. CITIZEN/PUBLIC COMMENT Marcus Limbrunner, Newport, thought parking was important for the redevelopment of his restaurant property in the City Center. He suggested options for valet parking for patrons so they could access parking in the back; wanted to see an additional parking lot in the area for the public to access; and thought routing

bicyclists away from the couplet would be better for

safety and traffic flow.

|            | Lou Limbrunner, Newport, owned a property in the area. He thought that safety was the main concern and a couplet was the best way to go about addressing it.  Jeff Bertuleit, Newport, questioned the quoted costs for rents in the city center area, and the estimated parking spaces. He thought the city should buy parking instead of spending money on couplets. |
|------------|---|
| NEXT STEPS | Capri requested that the a list of pros and cons for the couplets, and information on their funding be shared at the next meeting.  |

Submitted by:

Sherri Marineau, Executive Assistant

### October 25, 2024 - City Center Revitalization Planning Committee Meeting Video Link:

https://thecityofnewport.granicus.com/player/clip/1351?view\_id=48&redirect=true



# CITY CENTER REDEVELOMENT PLAN

# GAP ANALYSIS

TASK 4.1: REVISED MEMO #4 | DECEMBER 10, 2024

PREPARED FOR:

CITY OF NEWPORT, OREGON

PREPARED BY:





### CONTENTS

| 1 | INTF | RODUCTION  | 1  |
|---|------|--|----|
| 2 | PRO  | CESS   | 1  |
| 3 | NCC  | RP VISION  | 1  |
|   | 3.1  | GOALS AND OBJECTIVES   | 2  |
|   | 3.2  | PLANNING / URBAN DESIGN CONTEXT                                  | 3  |
|   | 3.2. | 1 ODOT STANDARDS   | ∠  |
|   | 3.2. | 2 BEST PRACTICES   | €  |
|   | 3.3  | OVERALL CONTEXT, CIRCULATION, TOPOGRAPHY                         |    |
|   | 3.4  | 20-YEAR SITE REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL                             | 8  |
|   | 3.4. | 1 Potential Opportunity Sites                                    | 8  |
|   | 3.4. | 2 Opportunity Sites Diagram                                      | C  |
|   | 3.5  | COMPREHENSIVE PLAN / ZONING COMPATIBILITY                        | 10 |
|   | 3.5. | 1 EXISTING ZONING  | 11 |
|   | 3.5. | 2 EXISTING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN                                    | 11 |
| 1 | DES  | CRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES   | 12 |
|   | 4.1  | TRANSPORATION AND GROWTH (FROM TSP)                              | 13 |
| 5 | TWO  | D-WAY HIGHWAY 101 / BIKE LANES ON SW 9 <sup>TH</sup> ALTERNATIVE | 14 |
|   | 5.1  | TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES                    | 16 |
|   | 5.2  | TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 SKETCH PLAN                                  | 17 |
|   | 5.3  | TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 STREET SECTIONS                              | 18 |
| ŝ | HIGI | HWAY 101 / SW 9 <sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET ALTERNATIVE         | 19 |
|   | 6.1  | HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9TH STREET COUPLET DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES    | 20 |
|   | 6.2  | HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9 <sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET SKETCH PLAN      | 21 |
|   | 6.3  | HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9 <sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET STREET SECTIONS  | 22 |
| 7 | BICY | CLE ROUTES   | 23 |
|   | 7.1  | TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 ALTERNATIVE                                  | 23 |
|   | 7.2  | HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9TH STREET COUPLET ALTERNATIVE                  | 24 |
| 3 | PAR  | KING IMPACTS   | 25 |

| 9  | MAF  | RKET TRENDS                                    | 27 |
|----|------|--|----|
|    | 9.1  | KEY OFFICE AND RETAIL MARKET TRENDS IN NEWPORT | 27 |
|    | 9.2  | KEY HOUSING MARKET TRENDS IN NEWPORT           | 28 |
| 10 | ALTI | ERNATIVES EVALUATION                           | 29 |
| 11 | IMP  | LEMENTATION STRATEGY                           | 31 |
|    | 11.1 | WHO  | 31 |
|    | 11.2 | WHAT   | 31 |
|    | 11.3 | WHEN   | 31 |
|    | 11.4 | WHERE  | 31 |
|    | 11.5 | WHY  | 32 |
|    | 11.6 | US 101   |    |
|    | 11.7 | US 20  | 35 |
| 12 | FUN  | DING SOURCES                                   | 36 |
|    | 12.1 | EXISTING FUNDING SOURCES                       | 36 |
|    | 12.1 | .1 Urban Renewal                               | 36 |
|    | 12.2 | POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES                      | 36 |
|    | 12.3 | GRANT RESEARCH                                 | 37 |

### 1 INTRODUCTION

This Draft Memorandum #4 documents the gap between the Newport City Center Redevelopment Plan (NCCRP) vision for future land use and transportation circulation, and existing transportation facilities and infrastructure. It describes the characteristics that are likely to result from development and redevelopment that are consistent with current adopted policies, standards, regulations, and existing and planned public facilities. This memorandum also outlines the Baseline Future Condition and one alternative. It identifies impediments to achieving the NCCRP goals and objectives (as documented in *Memorandum #1: Goals and Objectives*) as they relate to market conditions, public facility and service capacities, and policies and regulations found in City's adopted Comprehensive Plan, existing Refinement Plans, 2022 Newport Transportation System Plan (TSP), and Development Code.

### 2 PROCESS

This memorandum outlines the Baseline Future Condition and one alternative. It identifies impediments to achieving the NCCRP goals and objectives (as documented in *Memorandum #1*) as they relate to market conditions, public facility and service capacities, and policies and regulations found in City's adopted Comprehensive Plan, existing Refinement Plans, 2022 Newport TSP, and Development Code.

### 3 NCCRP VISION


The NCCRP vision is grounded by the City of Newport's policy context (described in *Memorandum 1 Goals and Objectives*) and an initial Vision Statement follows:

Newport's City Center will become an active, walkable, mixed use environment with a clean, welcoming appearance. Circulation will be safe and efficient, supported by improved traffic flow, managed parking, and enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Vibrant streetscapes will support local business activity, and entice both residents and visitors. Redevelopment will be facilitated by strategic investment in infrastructure, planned property acquisition, and streamlined development approvals.

### 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Derived from the *Memorandum 1 Goals and Objectives*, the following diagram and primary goal list provides an easy-to-understand summary of the City's NCCRP objectives.




- Goal 1: An active mix of uses (both commercial and residential) in a walkable environment.
- Goal 2: Safe and efficient traffic flow and parking management.
- Goal 3: A clean, welcoming appearance.
- Goal 4: Planned property development and acquisition.
- **Goal 5: Targeted investment in infrastructure.**

### 3.2 PLANNING / URBAN DESIGN CONTEXT

Newport faces the challenge of transforming City Center and also maintaining acceptable service levels on its transportation network. Some key opportunities and challenges noted for in Transportation System Plan (TSP) update include:

- US 101 and US 20 form the primary transportation network and carry most of the motor vehicle traffic. The geographic constraints of the ocean coast, Yaquina Bay and local hillsides have fostered a strong reliance on the state highway system both for local travel and regional service to nearby communities. These highways were built with limited walking and bicycling amenities which continue to be a challenge for residents, visitors and through-travelers who are traveling who are not using motor vehicles. There is an opportunity to tap into the existing visitor demand, as Newport is the most visited Oregon Coast city.
- Many City Center properties are underutilized or in economic distress with vacant storefronts and aging,
  poorly maintained buildings. The City has an opportunity to leverage its urban renewal district to generate
  funding to revitalize City Center, along with upgrading the transportation system to catalyze economic
  development and provide infrastructure needed to support additional density. The north end of the area is
  anchored by City Hall and the south end by the growing hospital, framing the opportunity sites between.



### 3.2.1 ODOT STANDARDS

Newport's City Center and Highway 20 corridors are both urban places where local planning objectives must be coordinated with Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) requirements. Thankfully, ODOT's Blueprint for Urban Design (BUD) provides specific design criteria that follows federal guidelines and principles and provides a performance based, context sensitive, practical design approach that allows (some) flexibility in urban contexts. Two specific BUD context classifications apply to the NCCRP: 1) Traditional Downtown/Central Business District in City Center along Highway 101, and 2) Urban Mix for Highway 20.

The following information is extracted from the BUD.

Traditional Downtown/Central Business District (CBD): These are areas with the highest development and building heights in an urban area. Typically, a few square blocks, buildings have mixed land uses, are built up to the roadway, and are within a well-connected roadway network. To best serve all users, vehicle speeds should be 25 mph or below, and higher levels of congestion are expected. Transit stops should be placed at frequent intervals, and transit priority treatments can help with transit mobility, even in congested conditions. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities should be relatively wide and comfortable to serve anticipated users. Curbside uses are important and may include loading/unloading, parking (vehicles, bicycles, etc.), and other uses. Landscaping and street trees, following ODOT placement and spacing guidelines, are appropriate in this context.

**Urban Mix:** Mix of land uses within a well-connected roadway network. May extend long distances. Commercial uses front the street with residential neighborhoods on top or immediately behind land uses. To best serve all users, vehicle speeds are typically 25 to 30 mph, and higher levels of congestion are acceptable. Transit stops should be placed in proximity to origins and destinations. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities should be relatively wide and comfortable to serve anticipated users. Where low speeds cannot be achieved, practitioners must consider a buffer between travel lanes and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Curbside uses are important and may include loading/unloading, parking (vehicles, bicycles, etc.), and other uses. Landscaping and street trees, following ODOT placement and spacing guidelines, are appropriate in this context.

### **Urban Context Matrix** (source: ODOT BUD Table 2-2)

| Land Use<br>Context             | Setbacks Distance from the building to the property line | Building Orientation Buildings with front doors that can be accessed from the sidewalks along a pedestrian path | <b>Land Use</b><br>Existing or future<br>mix of land uses | Building Coverage  Percent of area adjacent to right-of- way with buildings, as opposed to parking, landscape, or other uses | Parking Location of parking in relation to the buildings along the right-of-way | Block Size Average size of blocks adjacent to the right-of-way |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Traditional<br>Downtown/<br>CBD | Shallow/ None  | Yes   | Mixed (Residential,<br>Commercial,<br>Park/Recreation)    | High   | On-street/ garage/<br>shared in back  | Small, consistent<br>block structure                           |
| Urban Mix                       | Shallow  | Some  | Commercial<br>fronting, residential<br>behind or above    | Medium   | Mostly off-<br>street/Single row in<br>front/ In back/ On<br>side               | Small to medium blocks   |

Cross Section Illustration of Streetscape Realms (source: BUD, Figure 3-1)




# Land Use Pedestrian Transition

# Travelway

| Street<br>Realm     | Location  | Function  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Land Use<br>Realm   | Immediately adjacent to the roadway right-of-way  | <ul> <li>Typically, privately owned, the land use realm contributes to the urban context of the place.</li> <li>This space can also serve a variety of other functions in some cases, including pedestrian space, amenities such as bicycle parking, utilities, landscaping, parking, and other uses.</li> <li>Awnings or building appurtenances, signs and other activities that require use of the public right-of-way or overhang into the Pedestrian Realm must be permitted by ODOT or the local agency (if sidewalk is locally owned).</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Pedestrian<br>Realm | Includes the sidewalk and the buffer or furniture zone  | <ul> <li>Serves pedestrians and access to land uses</li> <li>Buffer/furniture zone often used as a place for utilities, lighting, signs, street trees, and other furnishings</li> <li>May also serve as public space for art, sidewalk seating, or other types of public uses if sidewalk is locally owned.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Transition<br>Realm | The area immediately adjacent to the curb or sidewalk edge (e.g., parking, loading, transit stops). May also include non-pedestrian areas behind the curb (e.g., curb-separated bicycle lanes). | <ul> <li>Bicycle movement – or, parking – or pedestrian activities, such as planters, transit stops, loading/unloading, pick-up/drop-off</li> <li>May serve multiple functions in same block or location, may vary by time of day.</li> <li>May also include street trees and/or other green streets treatments</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Travelway<br>Realm  | The center of the right-of-<br>way used for movement,<br>typically including travel<br>lanes, median, and/or turn<br>lanes  | <ul> <li>Primarily functions to serve various types of vehicle movement (including motor vehicles, buses, light rail vehicles, streetcars, bicycles, motorcycles, freight, etc.)</li> <li>Can provide or manage vehicular access through turn lanes, medians, and other treatments</li> <li>Median can function as a place for vegetation, green streets stormwater treatments, and as a pedestrian refuge.</li> </ul>  |  |  |


### 3.2.2 BEST PRACTICES

### MIXED USE FRONTAGES (source: Urbsworks)




Uses in the Transition
Realm related to
enriching the pedestrian
environment, such as
bulb outs (curb
extensions), extra
furnishings, street trees,
local business support
(merchandising, café
seating), benches for
residents, etc.) are going
to be critical for
accommodating the
livability aspects of the
streetscape.

### MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL FRONTAGES (source: Urbsworks)



Newport's City Center will benefit from higher density residential development, although the design of residential entries must sensitively engage the street level in ways different from ground floor retail.





To better understand how the transportation alternatives fit within the local circulation context, ODOT BUD classifications are diagrammed, combined with key routes, steep slopes, and local destinations. City Center occupies a central, ridgeline location on the peninsula, while the Highway 20 corridor slopes down from the Coast Range along the edge of the bluff which leads to the Bayfront.

Urban Mix

DEA PROJECT NO.: ODOTO000-1122

### 3.4 20-YEAR SITE REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL

### 3.4.1 Potential Opportunity Sites

Analyzing the redevelopment potential for the baseline scenario and proposed alternatives requires an understanding of the area's current development capacity. This capacity is essential for assessing future transportation impacts, real estate market shifts, and general urban planning feasibility.


To identify key parcels that may be ripe for redevelopment over the coming two decades in any potential development scenario, the consultant team conducted a high-level analysis for public and private land. The assessment focused on several criteria that influence a parcel's likelihood of redevelopment:

- Ratio of Improvement Value to Land Value: Parcels that have an assessed value of improvements (buildings and structures) lower than the land itself are likely to see more interest in redevelopment over the 20-year planning horizon. This metric suggests that the land is underutilized and may attract developers looking to maximize value through new construction or significant renovation over the 20-year planning horizon.
- Vacant Land: Raw, undeveloped land within the study area offers opportunities for new construction. Particularly attractive are smaller, adjacent parcels that could be assembled into larger development sites that can accommodate larger-scale projects.
- Building Age: Older buildings are more likely to face obsolescence, making them strong candidates for redevelopment. This high-level assessment focused on aging structures that may no longer meet modern building standards, market demands, or zoning regulations, thereby increasing the likelihood of redevelopment.
- **Public Control of the Site:** Parcels owned by the City or Urban Renewal Agency offer unique redevelopment potential due to the ability of local governments or agencies to prioritize redevelopment according to community goals. These sites may be leveraged for mixed-use projects, affordable housing, or public amenities that align with broader urban renewal objectives.
- **Proximity to US 101 Core:** Given the URA's focus on supporting redevelopment of the US 101 corridor, parcels, sites located closer to the core are expected to experience stronger demand, as they offer developers opportunities to create high-visibility, high-traffic projects.

Based on tax assessor data and a site visit, the consultant team drafted an initial "Redevelopment Potential" exhibit (refer to the figure). This exhibit is a visual representation intended to quantify redevelopment opportunities for both the baseline and alternative scenarios. The quantification allows for further analysis of potential transportation impacts and the overall influence on the real estate market within the district.

The table below summarizes the initial acreage of redevelopment potential for both public and private lands under the two proposed alternatives. It highlights how redevelopment opportunities are distributed between public and private parcels, giving stakeholders a clear view of where future growth could occur.

### 3.4.2 Opportunity Sites Diagram



### LEGEND

- Parcel Boundary
- Redevelopment Potential (Public)
- Redevelopment Potential (Private)
- Study Area
- ■ Couplet Option
- ■ Two-Way Option
- Highway 101 / Highway 20

- Samaritan Pacific Community Hospital
- 2 City Hall
- Historic Bayfront
- 4 Library
- 6 History Museum
- 6 Courthouse
- 7 Newport High School
- Recreation Center

### 3.5 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN / ZONING COMPATIBILITY

One of the primary objectives of this project is to "Enable Mixed-Use Development and Walkability." Zoning plays a critical role in determining the redevelopment potential of parcels. Parcels zoned for higher-density or mixed-use development are inherently more attractive to developers, while restrictive zoning may hinder redevelopment unless pathways for rezoning or variances exist.


The comprehensive plan designates most of the study area as primarily commercial, which, along with zoning code regulations emphasizing ground-floor commercial uses, precludes many forms of housing development. This presents a significant barrier to achieving a mix of uses and a walkable urban form. Specifically:

- Parcels along US 101 are zoned C-1, which prohibits ground-floor residential uses. To facilitate housing development over the 20-year planning period, amendments to the NMC should consider:
  - 1. Allowing residential uses at street grade, including stand-alone residential developments.
  - 2. Removing or significantly modifying density restrictions.
  - 3. Eliminating or right-sizing parking requirements, paired with district parking management.
  - 4. Increasing height and lot coverage allowances to allow for more development flexibility on key development opportunity sites in the area.
- Parcels along US 20 are currently zoned C-3 for Highway Commercial uses. Redevelopment of these parcels to include a broader mix of uses may require rezoning to accommodate a broader mix of uses while addressing potential negative impacts from adjacent industrial activities, such as noise pollution, air quality concerns, and traffic/safety issues.


Memo #5 will provide a detailed analysis of proposed zoning changes aimed at supporting the preferred alternative and enabling a broader range of uses and development opportunities.

19

### 3.5.1 EXISTING ZONING



### 3.5.2 EXISTING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN



DEA PROJECT NO.: ODOTO000-1122

### 4 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

Two alternatives are being evaluated for the NCCRP:

- Two-Way Highway 101 / Bike Lanes On SW 9<sup>th</sup> Alternative
- Highway 101 / SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street Couplet Alternative


The Newport TSP shows baseline conditions for transportation stress and household and employment growth. For each transportation alternative, the project team analyzed low and high-growth land use scenarios, with a focus on housing unit growth, as described below. While the total anticipated housing growth remains consistent across both transportation alternatives, the specific locations of this growth and other related factors are detailed in the respective alternative scenarios.

- Low Housing Growth Scenario: 118 units Assumes no zoning changes but targeted incentives like land write downs and development subsidies to support housing development. Meets 50% of multifamily demand (78 units) and 25% of the need for plexes and single-family attached (40 units) demand of total Housing Capacity Analysis growth target. The following NMC requirements / limitations limit housing growth: C-1 limitation on residential uses at ground level (residential uses must be above commercial); density and height limits (R-4, C-1 and P-1 zones), and parking requirements that apply to most of the desired housing types.
- **High Housing Growth Scenario: 476 units -** Assumes significant NMC zoning changes to increase development flexibility and a higher level of incentives to attract high-density residential development to the area. Meets 75% of multifamily demand (357 units) and 25% of plexes/SFA (119 units).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The City of Newport 20-year Housing Capacity Analysis (HCA) found that the city needs 626 new housing units with the following split: 50% SFD, 10% SFA, 15% plexes, and 25% multifamily.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the high growth scenario, we use the Oregon Housing Needs Analysis 20-year housing forecast of 1,902 units over 20 years with the same unit type split as the HCA.

### 4.1 TRANSPORATION AND GROWTH (FROM TSP)



22

### 5 TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 / BIKE LANES ON SW 9<sup>TH</sup> ALTERNATIVE

**DESCRIPTION:** This Alternative assumes the future (year 2040) land uses in the Project Area are consistent with current adopted policies, standards, regulations, and existing and planned public facilities. The transportation network is consistent with the 2022 Newport Transportation System Plan (TSP).

### **GOALS / OBJECTIVES ASSESSMENT**

**US 101 Downtown Corridor** (SW 9th Street to SW Angle Street)

- Retain two-way Highway 101 on its current alignment.
- Provide bicycle facilities on the parallel route of SE 9th Street to reduce impacts on properties adjacent to the highway.
- Upgrade the existing roadways to meet current ODOT design standards, which would address the narrow travel lanes, and lack of bike facilities.

US 20 Downtown Corridor (Harney Street-Moore Drive to US 101)

- Retain and upgrade two-way Highway 20 along its present alignment.
- Provide quality bicycle facilities on parallel route NE 1st Street to reduce impacts to properties adjacent to the highway.

### US 20 / US 101 Intersection

Add another southbound left-turn lane from US 101 onto eastbound US 20

### **IMPEDIMENTS ASSESSMENT**

Housing Development: Higher-density multifamily housing is likely to cluster near US 101 and 9th Street, while plexes and attached units would be more suitable closer to US 20 and the hospital, where lower-density development aligns with the character of the surrounding neighborhoods. The urban renewal agency can catalyze development on sites that it owns to attract near-term housing development. Overall, household growth in the study area is projected to range from 100 to 500 units, depending on market conditions and the availability of incentives to attract development.

Retail Environment: If the two-way configuration is maintained, a number of existing buildings along US 101 are currently positioned very close to the highway. The City could consider reorienting these buildings toward 9th Street, transforming it into a Main Street with a slow, pedestrian-focused two-way design. This approach could create a charming, pedestrian-friendly atmosphere for that small stretch of 9th Street. Retail could also concentrate on Alder and Hurbert Streets, which run perpendicular to the couplet and allow for diagonal parking and streetscape enhancements. This shift in orientation might also reduce future conflicts by moving activity away from services for individuals experiencing homelessness.

However, this reorientation could further establish US 101 as a major arterial, potentially increasing traffic volumes, speeds, and the number of lanes. To ensure pedestrian safety—particularly for those who need to cross the arterial, such as service workers—it would be necessary to install frequently spaced, signalized crossings or


pedestrian hybrid beacons (PHBs). These measures would help maintain safe and efficient pedestrian access across US 101.

Alternatively, with planned streetscape improvements, retail could remain focused along US 101. Slowing traffic could support a more pedestrian-oriented environment, but given the wide street and crossing challenges, areas like 9th Street might be more appealing for pedestrian-oriented retail uses.

Public Facilities: CONFIRMATION BY CITY.

TSP: Compliant.

### 5.1 TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES





25

### 5.2 TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 SKETCH PLAN



### 5.3 TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 STREET SECTIONS





With this Alternative, both Highway 101 and SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street would be enhanced by Buffer Zone landscape and streetscape treatments, but the Pedestrian Zones on each remain limited – especially considering the high volume of traffic. On-street parking would be removed from both Highway 101 and SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street.

SW 9th Street

27

### 6 HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET ALTERNATIVE

**DESCRIPTION:** This Alternative envisions the 'short couplet' described in the TSP.

### **US 101 Downtown Corridor** (SW 9th Street to SW Angle Street)

- Reconfigure Highway 101 to become southbound one-way on its current alignment.
- Reconfigure parallel route SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street to become northbound one-way on an alignment that is modified near Fall Street (on the south end) and Angle Street (on the north end),
- Split bicycle facilities between Highway 101 (southbound) and SE 9th Street (northbound).
- Upgrade the existing roadways to meet current ODOT design standards.

### US 20 Downtown Corridor (Harney Street-Moore Drive to US 101)

- Retain and upgrade two-way Highway 20 along its present alignment.
- Provide quality bicycle facilities on parallel route NE 1st Street to reduce impacts to properties adjacent to the highway.

### US 20 / US 101 Intersection

Add another southbound left-turn lane from US 101 onto eastbound US 20

### **IMPEDIMENTS ASSESSMENT**

**Housing Development**: For mid-block parcels along US 101 and 9th, standalone residential development could be a strong fit, given their alignment with existing redevelopment opportunities. Parking for residential uses in the middle of the couplet would need to be structured or tuck under parking. The urban renewal agency can catalyze development on sites that it owns to attract near-term housing development. Total study area household growth could range from 100 to 500 housing units, depending on market strength and incentives to attract development to this area.

**Retail Environment:** Couplets can present challenges for businesses, particularly by reducing visibility and access to one-directional traffic, which may split customer flow between AM and PM. However, this area has some unique conditions that partially offset these drawbacks. The short blocks and wide rights-of-way on side streets offer opportunities for cost-effective retrofits, such as tactical urbanism, to create active retail and pedestrian-friendly spaces. While side streets may not achieve the same visibility as main corridors, they have the potential to support vibrant street activity with the right investment. Retail could concentrate on Alder and Hurbert Streets, which run perpendicular to the couple and allow for diagonal parking and streetscape enhancements. **Public Facilities:** CONFIRMATION BY CITY.

TSP: Compliant.


### 6.1 HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9TH STREET COUPLET DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES




This Alternative transforms US 101/SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street into a couplet in City Center. It improves bicycle circulation through provision of a bike lane southbound on US 101 and a bike lane northbound on SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street.

Several cross streets (Abbey, Hurbert, Angle) provide key routes for those wishing to travel between the Bayfront and Oceanfront/Nye Beach areas.

Multiple redevelopment opportunities exist, several fronting on the key cross street routes, and their realization could be transformative for City Center.




### 6.2 HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET SKETCH PLAN



### 6.3 HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET STREET SECTIONS



Section A 101 South



Section B SW 9th Street North

With this Alternative, Highway 101 and SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street are enhanced by Buffer Zone landscape and streetscape treatments, as well as increased Pedestrian Zones. On-street parking would remain on Highway 101, but be removed from SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street.

### **BICYCLE ROUTES**


### TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101 ALTERNATIVE





This exhibit diagrams how bicycle circulation primarily uses SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street to connect to key routes in the study area (such as 10<sup>th</sup>, Angle, Benton, etc.).

### 7.2 HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9TH STREET COUPLET ALTERNATIVE




### 8 PARKING IMPACTS

On-street parking impacts are significantly different for each of the two alternatives. To begin the analysis, the 2018 Newport Parking Management Plan quantifies existing conditions in the City Center (see exhibit below)





Some on-street parking occurs along SW 9<sup>th</sup> Street today. These locations are indicated by white paint stripes on the asphalt pavement and yellow curbs / unpainted curbs.



Some surface parking could be impacted at the City-owned Farmers Market lot, depending on final couplet alignment.

Parking: Couplet Option



# Parcel Boundary Study Area Couplet Option Two-Way Option Highway 101 / Highway 20 Added Parking Lost Parking Parking Lot Key Routes LEGEND

Parking: Two-Way Option

|          | Total On-Street Spaces* | Total Lot Spaces* | Total Overall Spaces* |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Existing | 271                     | 184               | 455                   |
| Couplet  | 264                     | 168               | 432                   |
| Two-Way  | 185                     | 184               | 369                   |

\*BETWEEN ALDER ST TO SW ANGLE ST

Both Alternatives maintain similar side street parking numbers. Between the two Alternatives, the Couplet maintains approximately more on-street parking spaces on Highway 101. As currently envisioned, the Two-Way Highway 101 Alternative relies heavily on off-street parking and the on-street parking on the side streets.


City Hall

### 9 MARKET TRENDS


### 9.1 KEY OFFICE AND RETAIL MARKET TRENDS IN NEWPORT

- Low vacancies point to unmet demand for commercial space, but stagnant rents and high construction costs restrict new construction.
- The Project Area has a limited supply of updated, move-in ready buildings for businesses.
- Newport has a limited supply of vacant commercial land.

### Average Commercial Rent Rate per Square Foot, 2014 to 2024 YTD



### Average Commercial Vacancy Rate, 2014 to 2024 YTD




Source: CoStar, pulled July 2024


#### 9.2 KEY HOUSING MARKET TRENDS IN NEWPORT

- Increasing rents and low vacancy rates suggest a constrained supply of multifamily units in Newport.
- The limited inventory of attainable housing is impacting businesses' ability to recruit and retain staff.
- Strong regional housing price growth indicates additional demand for ownership units in Newport.
- New housing in the Project Area could add to the base of over 1,000 existing units there.

#### Average Market-Rate Multifamily Rent per Unit, 2014 to 2024 YTD



#### Average Market-Rate Multifamily Vacancy Rate, 2014 to 2024 YTD



Source: CoStar, pulled July 2024

#### 10 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION

Any selected alternative should meet the Newport planning goals (summarized earlier). These goals have been integrated into the following evaluation matrix.

|   | Criterion                                      | Alternative 1: 2-Way US 101<br>(Bike Lanes on SW 9 <sup>th</sup> St)   | Alternative 2: Short Couplet (SW Abbey and Angle St)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | ENABLES MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT AND WALKABILITY  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ENABLES HOUSING<br>MIX                         | Traffic volume on SW 9 <sup>th</sup> street remains static; difficult to promote housing or mixed-use on US 101 due to high vehicle volume and limited separation from travel lanes, no bike facilities or parking | Concentrates investment in existing most active us 101 area; adds new opportunities on SW 9 <sup>th</sup> street; wider sidewalks and addition of bike lanes creates opportunities for residential over retail mixed-use |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | ENHANCES ACCESS<br>AND VISIBILITY              | ←<br>Less multimodal   | New transportation pattern facilitates multimodal access and offers new orientation opportunities; more use of 9th distributes traffic more broadly  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | INCREASES PED<br>SAFETY AND<br>WALKABILITY     | Modest widening of pedestrian areas; new buffer zones; and narrower road crossing distances result in improved pedestrian safety and walkability   | Significant widening of pedestrian areas; new buffer zones; addition of bike lanes promotes usage; traffic calming possible  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | ALLOWS<br>WAYFINDING<br>OPTIONS                | ⊖<br>Some additional signage possible  | Wider sidewalks / simplified travel directions very supportive of new signage  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | ALLOWS FOR PLAZA,<br>PARK, COMMUNITY<br>SPACES | O<br>Less multimodal   | Wider sidewalks / new organization allows for new opportunities; focal N / S ends  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | FEATURES POSITIVELY / BENEFITS MOST USERS      | ⊖<br>Less multimodal   | ●<br>Multiple modes  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Criterion |  | Alternative 1: 2-Way US 101<br>(Bike Lanes on SW 9 <sup>th</sup> St)   | Alternative 2: Short Couplet (SW Abbey and Angle St)   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|           | Supports Economic Vitality and Business Mix          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7         | PROMOTES REDEVELOPMENT                               | ←<br>Less multimodal   | •<br>Multiple modes  |  |  |  |  |
| 8         | ALLOWS STRATEGIC PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT AND INVESTMENT | <b>⊕</b> Less multimodal   | New transportation pattern facilitates new     development opportunities   |  |  |  |  |
| 9         | ATTRACTIVE TO DIVERSE BUSINESS TYPES                 | O<br>Less multimodal   | More traffic/ additional focus on SW 9 <sup>th</sup> Street  |  |  |  |  |
| 10        | ENHANCES VISIBILITY AND ACCESS TO BUSINESSES         | ← Less multimodal  | More traffic / additional focus on SW 9th Street   |  |  |  |  |
| 11        | MANAGES PARKING                                      | On-street parking removed from both US 101 and 9th Street; likely necessitates purchase of property for surface lot(s) | On-street parking retained on US 101 but removed on 9th Street; some additional offstreet parking might be needed. |  |  |  |  |
|           | Aligns Implementation and Partnerships               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12        | MULTIPLE POTENTIAL FUNDING OPTIONS                   | ⊕<br>Less multimodal   | Multimodal focus facilitates transportation     grant funding  |  |  |  |  |
| 13        | RELATIVE COST  | Slightly less; both US 101 and 9th Street would be disrupted   | O  Both US 101 and 9th Street would be disrupted   |  |  |  |  |
| 14        | AVOIDS DISRUPTION OF EXISTING BUSINESSES             | Both US 101 and 9 <sup>th</sup> Street would be disrupted  | <b>⊖</b> Both US 101 and 9 <sup>th</sup> Street would be disrupted   |  |  |  |  |

**INITIAL RATING:** Supportive of the TSP ranking, the NCCRP consultant team's initial assessment rates Alternative 2: Short Couplet as meeting more of the overall rating criteria. Looking ahead to further work with the CAC and community, confirmation, refinement, and/or potential prioritization the criteria may shift this assessment.

#### 11 IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The US 101 corridor in Newport, Oregon, is a vital transportation and economic artery that serves as a gateway for residents, visitors, and businesses alike. This implementation strategy aims to enhance the corridor's function and character by fostering economic vibrancy, increasing housing opportunities, and creating an inclusive, accessible community destination.

#### 11.1 WHO

This strategy involves collaboration between the City of Newport, local business owners, developers, community organizations, and state and federal partners such as ODOT and housing agencies. Key leadership will come from Newport's Urban Renewal Agency and the Community Development Department, supported by input from residents and business owners.

#### 11.2 WHAT

The strategy outlines a phased approach to improving the US 101 corridor by:

- Expanding housing options and affordability through zoning changes and public-partnerships on cityowned sites.
- 2. Supporting and stabilizing local businesses with anti-displacement measures and technical assistance.
- 3. Enhancing public spaces and amenities to foster a sense of place and accessibility.
- **4.** Improving transportation infrastructure for pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles.

#### 11.3 WHEN

Implementation is designed over a six-year timeline:

- **Short-Term (Years 1–2)**: Launch branding initiatives, tenant improvement programs, and visible streetscape enhancements.
- Mid-Term (Years 3–4): Begin housing projects on agency-owned sites, secure partnerships for affordable housing, and finalize playgrounds and plazas.
- Long-Term (Years 5–6): Complete major infrastructure upgrades, establish permanent Farmer's Market facilities, and evaluate progress to refine priorities.

#### **11.4 WHERE**

The geographic focus is the US 101 and US 20 corridors within Newport's city limits. Additional emphasis will be placed on agency-owned properties and underutilized parcels to catalyze development.

#### 11.5 WHY

The goal of this strategy is to balance economic development with community preservation by ensuring local businesses thrive, residents have access to affordable housing, and visitors experience an engaging, dynamic destination. By proactively managing growth and addressing potential displacement, Newport can create a corridor that reflects its unique coastal character and benefits all stakeholders.

A critical consideration is the proximity of the corridor to services for people experiencing homelessness, which highlights the need for sensitive, inclusive development. While redevelopment can improve conditions for businesses and residents, it also poses potential displacement risks for existing businesses and vulnerable community members. Balancing growth with equitable outcomes will require coordinated planning, tenant protections, and targeted anti-displacement strategies.

To address these challenges, this strategy focuses on improving infrastructure, modernizing aging buildings, and fostering a vibrant commercial ecosystem. Investments in branding, streetscape enhancements, and public-private partnerships aim to attract new development while supporting existing businesses. By tackling these issues head-on, Newport can transform the US 101 corridor into a safe, welcoming, and economically resilient destination for all.

This framework sets the stage for coordinated, equitable, and sustainable improvements to Newport's US 101 corridor.

#### 11.6 US 101

Overall Goal: Create a focal downtown core - like other cities - with different business districts "flavors" (Nye Beach, Bayfront, South Beach, Agate Beach).

Newport's US 101 corridor is a critical link for transportation, commerce, and community life, yet it faces challenges such as highway safety concerns, fragmented pedestrian infrastructure, and traffic congestion. Limited vacant land and a difficult retail environment further hinder growth, requiring strategic investments and government coordination to enhance safety, accessibility, and economic vitality. This strategy focuses on transforming the corridor into a vibrant, community-centered destination while supporting existing businesses and aligning with Newport's vision for inclusivity.

Development feasibility is constrained by rising interest rates, high construction costs, and coastal challenges, while market conditions—like limited ability to pay higher rents and employment uncertainties—reduce investment potential. Aging infrastructure and buildings compound these issues, underscoring the need for targeted upgrades and modernization to attract sustainable development.

Proximity to services for people experiencing homelessness and the risk of displacement for businesses and residents highlight the need for inclusive planning. By integrating anti-displacement measures and partnerships with service providers, this strategy aims to balance growth with equity, ensuring the US 101 corridor remains a thriving and welcoming part of Newport's future.

Establish the US 101 corridor as a recognizable and inviting destination for local residents and visitors.

- Host community workshops to gather input on branding themes.
- Develop cohesive wayfinding signage.
- Install signage and public art at key gateways and along the corridor to create a sense of arrival.
- Improve connectivity between the City Center and surrounding business districts.
  - o Consolidate and upgrade wayfinding signage to better link the corridor with surrounding districts.
- Use surveys and visitor data to evaluate the impact of branding efforts on local business traffic.

Create public spaces that enhance livability, promote community interactions, and encourage longer visits.

- Develop playgrounds, plazas, and public art projects at identified high-impact areas including the gateways.
  - o Involve businesses and the community in designing public spaces and promoting culturally relevant placemaking.
- Identify and secure a permanent home for the farmer's market, integrating it with other public spaces for synergy.
- Strengthen partnerships between businesses and local events to increase foot traffic and economic benefits.
- Secure early wins with URA funding and state grants for quick, visible improvements.

42

#### Foster a thriving business environment

- Launch a storefront improvement grant program to help businesses enhance their curb appeal.
- Consider Tenant Improvement grants to complement the storefront improvement program
- Provide funding for internal upgrades to attract a diverse mix of businesses.
- Develop a business anti-displacement strategy that could include some of the following strategies
- Develop affordable leasing programs with long-term rent stability and shared spaces for small businesses.
   This could include partnering with economic organizations to master lease properties and offer affordable subleases with tenant improvement incentives.
- Expand programs to provide financial literacy, marketing, and regulatory navigation for underserved businesses.
- Streamline permitting and licensing processes for businesses transitioning to brick-and-mortar operations.
- Create loan funds and micro-grants to support business resilience and operational needs.
- Facilitate commercial condominiums and cooperative ownership to build equity for local businesses.
- Include local businesses on ongoing advisory committees to ensure small business representation in redevelopment plans.

#### Increase the supply of diverse, affordable, and workforce housing in the corridor.

- Work with developers to gauge interest in repurposing or redeveloping Agency-owned lots into mixed-use or residential developments to increase assessed value and land utilization.
- Support redevelopment of key iconic but underutilized buildings that could benefit from financial incentives or technical support to encourage their redevelopment.
- Begin development on publicly controlled parcels to demonstrate feasibility.
  - Utilize land write-downs and other incentives to attract developers.
  - Provide grants for essential infrastructure improvements including upgrades to utilities, streets, and pedestrian pathways so that development projects don't need to absorb these costs.
- Adjust the NMC to increase the feasibility of housing development in the area, while balancing other priorities:
  - Allow residential at street grade (e.g., stand-alone residential development);
  - Eliminate density restrictions;
  - o Eliminate or right-size parking requirements (and manage district parking)
  - o Increase height and lot coverage allowances.
- Collaborate with housing authorities and developers to build family-friendly, affordable, and senior housing.

#### Transform US 101 into a pedestrian-friendly and visually appealing corridor.

- Begin with smaller projects funded through URA dollars to showcase immediate impacts.
- Complete full streetscape design.
- Work with ODOT to address traffic congestion and ensure safe crossings.

43

#### 11.7 US 20

Overall goal: Enhance US 20 as the first ocean view gateway corridor.

The US 20 corridor in Newport, Oregon, serves as a key connection between the city's central hub and its surrounding areas, offering potential for economic development and community enhancements. However, despite its central location, the corridor has struggled to attract consistent investment, with limited interest from developers for commercial or mixed-use projects. Existing pedestrian networks are fragmented, making the area less accessible and less attractive for potential businesses and residents.

#### Enhance safety and connectivity for all users along the US 20 corridor.

- Create safe routes to schools by improving crosswalks, sidewalks, and bike lanes.
- Implement traffic calming measures to reduce vehicle speeds near pedestrian-heavy areas.

#### Facilitate the creation of diverse housing options along and near the US 20 corridor.

- Conduct site due diligence to identify feasible development opportunities.
- Evaluate rezoning options to allow for higher-density and mixed-use residential projects.
- Explore partnerships to develop housing along parallel streets near the high school, leveraging proximity to community amenities.
- Pursue housing development with a range of public and private partners to ensure affordability and accessibility.

#### Promote small-scale industrial and commercial opportunities that complement surrounding uses.

- Rehabilitate existing buildings to accommodate flexible industrial and manufacturing spaces.
- Encourage adaptive reuse of underutilized properties for mixed-use industrial and commercial activities.

#### 12 FUNDING SOURCES

A set of currently available revenue sources and potential future tools has been identified. Accordingly, this section discusses only the most promising funding sources and tools which the City will rely on to implement projects. It is noted that - based on 1) exploration of potential revenue, suitability, and political feasibility of a variety of potential funding tools for projects, and 2) conversations with staff and research - some implementation tools were excluded from further consideration, due to concerns about funding capacity and/or political feasibility.

#### 12.1 EXISTING FUNDING SOURCES

#### 12.1.1 Urban Renewal

TIF revenues are generated by the increase in total assessed value in an urban renewal district, from the time the district is first established. When investments in the district are made, property values increase in the district, and the increase in total property taxes is used to fund projects in the district or to pay off bonds (taken out to pay for specific projects in the area). Therefore, the City may use the District's TIF revenues to fund key projects in the area – if they are identified in the urban renewal plan. Because currently available funding sources are limited, other sources will play an important role in project implementation.

#### 12.2 POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES

#### Federal:

- The City should explore grant funding for projects where it could leverage its own money for federal dollars.
- FEMA Grants, for projects that align with hazard mitigation and resiliency goals.
- Economic Development Agency Public Works Program, to fund large infrastructure projects in areas that could use an economic boost to support jobs and diversification.

#### **State Funding Sources:**

- ODOT, including the Community Paths Grant, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Fund, All Road
  Transportation Safety Program, Multimodal Active Transportation Fund, and Statewide Transportation
  Improvement Program grants (timing considerations of each will need to be accounted for)
- Oregon Parks and Recreation Foundation Fund Grant
- Land and Water Conservation Fund
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Conservation and Recreation Fund

#### **Private or Foundation Support:**

• Grants (Meyer Memorial Trust, AARP Community Challenge Grant, Collins Foundation, International Mountain Biking Association, PeopleForBikes, PGE Better Together Resilient Communities Grant Program)

45

#### 12.3 GRANT RESEARCH

Because currently available funding sources are limited, grants are likely to play an important role in project implementation. Grant monies are not typically included in funding forecasts because they are too project-specific and uncertain to predict. However, if the City is successful in receiving grant money, it can use its urban renewal funds as matching funding to leverage additional grant dollars. Expanding City capacity for grant applications will likely be critical to implementation. Research of applicable regional, state, federal, and foundation-based grant programs that the City could consider pursuing for eligible projects in the NCCRP indicates that several grants should be looked at more closely at by the City. The exhibit below provides a compilation of grants that the City could leverage to help fund project priorities within the NCCRP area.


| INVESTMENT CATEGORIES        | GRANT OPPORTUNITIES  |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Placemaking, Art, and        | Rural Placemaking Innovation Challenge (USDA)                                  |  |  |
| Culture Projects             | Placemaking Grant (National Association of Realtors)                           |  |  |
| •                            | Hometown Grant Program (T-Mobile)  |  |  |
|                              | Three Rivers Foundation  |  |  |
|                              | Arts Build Communities (Oregon Arts Commission)                                |  |  |
|                              | Cultural Development Grant (Oregon Cultural Trust)                             |  |  |
|                              | Braemar Charitable Trust (Oregon Cultural Trust)                               |  |  |
|                              | Strategic Investment fund (The Oregon Coast)                                   |  |  |
|                              | Travel Oregon Competitive Grants Program                                       |  |  |
|                              | <ul> <li>Asphalt Art (Bloomberg Philanthropies)</li> </ul>                     |  |  |
|                              | Our Town Grant (National Endowment for the Arts)                               |  |  |
|                              | State Tourism Grants (EDA)   |  |  |
|                              | Wild River Coast Alliance Grants   |  |  |
|                              | Ford Family Foundation Capital Project Grants                                  |  |  |
|                              | Judith Ann Morgan Foundation   |  |  |
|                              | <ul> <li>Community Placemaking Grant (Project for Public Spaces)</li> </ul>    |  |  |
| Parks and Recreation         | Oregon Parks and Recreation Department Grants                                  |  |  |
| Projects                     | <ul> <li>Oregon Parks Foundation Fund (Oregon Community Foundation)</li> </ul> |  |  |
|                              | The Explore Fund (North Face)  |  |  |
| Housing Development          | <ul> <li>PRO Housing: Pathways to Removing Obstacles (HUD)</li> </ul>          |  |  |
|                              | General Housing Account Program (OHCS)   |  |  |
|                              | Housing Development Program (OHCS)   |  |  |
| Active Transportation        | <ul> <li>Community Change Walkability Grants (Strong Towns)</li> </ul>         |  |  |
| Projects                     | Community Challenge Grant (AARP)   |  |  |
|                              | <ul> <li>Oregon Community Paths (ODOT)</li> </ul>                              |  |  |
|                              | Responsive Grants (Collins Foundation)   |  |  |
| Brownfields, Infrastructure, | Public Work and Economic Adjustment Program (EDA)                              |  |  |
| Maritime Investments         | <ul> <li>Special Public Works Fund (Business Oregon)</li> </ul>                |  |  |
|                              | Coastal Zone Management Grants   |  |  |
|                              | Brownfield Grants (EPA)  |  |  |

# Newport City Center Revitalization Plan

CAC Meeting #4

December 13, 2024











## AGENDA





| Welcome   | 5 min  |
|---|--------|
| Purpose / Schedule                                  | 5 min  |
| Public Event 1 Feedback                             | 15 min |
| Consultant's Recommendation                         | 35 min |
| <ul> <li>Project Goals</li> </ul>                   |        |
| <ul> <li>Urban Design Framework</li> </ul>          |        |
| <ul> <li>Plan &amp; Code Amendments</li> </ul>      |        |
| Implementation                                      | 15 min |
| <ul> <li>Investments / Incentives Needed</li> </ul> |        |
| Discussion / Next Steps                             | 45 min |

## PURPOSE


Develop land use policies, regulations, financial incentives, to support reinvestment in Newport's City Center.

Identify transportation solutions that compliment proposed land use policies to promote mixed use development to create a live-work environment where residents have convenient access to employment and essential services.

## SCHEDULE

- Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) Targeted Interviews / Events **Project Website** Site Visit / Walking Tour
- Memos / Report **PMT Meetings** Public Events (2) Planning Commission / City Council

- # TASK
- 1. Project Management
- 2. Outreach
- 3. Policy Basis for Plan
- 4. Gap Analysis
- 5. Plan Implementation
- 6. Comprehensive Plan / Code Amendments
- 7. Adoption



## PUBLIC EVENT 1 FEEDBACK


## **EVENT SUMMARY**


76 attendees

17 displays

Consultant team and city staff

Conversations / written comments





## How important is...


#### Improved traffic safety

Of the 40 responses to this question, 20 (50%) ranked improved traffic safety as very important, while an additional 7 (18%) ranked it as somewhat important. 20% of respondents ranked improved traffic safety as either not important or somewhat unimportant.




#### Bicycle lanes on the road

Respondents are split about having bicycle lanes on the road. 36% of respondents feel that this is very or somewhat important, and 36% of respondents feel that this is either somewhat unimportant or not important. Finally, 30% of respondents are neutral or "not sure" about the issue.



#### Improved walkability

63% of respondents feel that improved walkability is either very or somewhat important as a goal. 28% of respondents feel that this is either somewhat unimportant or not important.




### STRONGEST RESPONSES

### Provide walkable access to government and social service

#### providers

One third (33%) of respondents feel that walkable access to government and social service providers is somewhat important, and an additional 15% feel that this is very important. 31% of respondents feel that walkable access to services is either somewhat unimportant or not important.



#### Improved parking access

Feelings towards parking access trended positive. 45% of respondents feel that parking access is either very important or somewhat important. 26% of respondents feel neutral or "not sure" about parking, and 29% of respondents feel that parking access is either somewhat unimportant or not important.



#### Gateway/city entrance development


42% of respondents feel that developing a city entrance or gateway is either somewhat or very important. 36% of respondents feel that this is either not important or somewhat unimportant.



## How important is...


#### Tourist attractions and business development

45% of respondents feel that tourist attraction and business development are either very or somewhat important. Only 13% of respondents feel that this is somewhat unimportant or not important.



#### Develop high visibility sites to attract more attention/development


39% of respondents responded neutral or "not sure" about developing high visibility sites to attract development. 34% of respondents feel that this is either important or somewhat important.




 $\Box$ 

#### Small business prioritization

Only 2 respondents (5%) feel that small business prioritization is not important. An overwhelming majority (77%) feel that small business prioritization is either very or somewhat important.




#### STRONGEST RESPONSES



## How important is...


Create enough affordable housing units to support Newport's needs


46% of respondents feel that affordable housing is a very important goal, and 18% feel that it is somewhat important (64% total). 21% of respondents feel that affordable housing is either somewhat unimportant or not important.




Connectivity between business districts (Nye Beach, Bayfront, etc.)

46% of respondents feel that connectivity is either very or somewhat important, while 32% feel neutral or "not sure."






## HIGHWAY 101 GOALS




## HIGHWAY 101 BARRIERS



## HIGHWAY 20 GOALS




## HIGHWAY 20 BARRIERS




## ALTERNATIVE 'LIKES'

#### TWO-WAY HIGHWAY 101




### HIGHWAY 101 / 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET



## ALTERNATIVE 'DISLIKES'

#### TWO WAY HIGHWAY 101




### HIGHWAY 101 / 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET COUPLET



## CONSULTANT'S RECOMMENDATIONS

## PROJECT GOALS

- 1: An active mix of uses in a walkable environment.
- 2: Safe, efficient traffic flow and managed parking.
- 3: A clean, welcoming appearance.
- 4: Planned property development and acquisition.
- 5: Targeted investment in infrastructure.




# **Newport Northside Urban Renewal District** Date: January 15, 2016 Legend City Limits Northside Plan Area

### URBAN RENEWAL

**Urban Renewal Funding:** Total available funding is \$39,920,000 in the Northside URD. Urban renewal funds will only cover a portion of the cost to reconfigure US 101, with the city having to compete for state and federal funds to cover the rest. State and federal funds prioritize projects that enhance all modes (i.e., walking, driving, cycling, etc.).

- Fund improvements to ease congestion, spread out traffic, enhance pedestrian experience, and facilitate redevelopment.
- Enhance district identity: benches, public art, public spaces, street and landscape island enhancements, wayfinding, and utility undergrounding.
- Promote mixed use and housing development and redevelopment of properties.



# DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

#### LEGEND

- Parcel Boundary
- Redevelopment Potential (Public)
- Redevelopment Potential (Private)
- Study Area
- ■ Couplet Option
- Two-Way Option
- Highway 101 / Highway 20
- 1 Samaritan Pacific Community Hospital
- 2 City Hall
- 3 Historic Bayfront
- 4 Library
- 5 History Museum
- 6 Courthouse
- 7 Newport High School
- 8 Recreation Center


# 0 NE 2nd St Yaquina Elementary School 2000 500' 1000

# SIGNALS AND BIKE ROUTING

#### **LEGEND**


- Existing Bike Connections
- Proposed Bike Connections
- Key Routes
- Parcel Boundary
- Study Area
- Highway 101 / Highway 20
  - Rapid Flashing Beacon (Existing / Potential)
  - 1 Samaritan Pacific Community Hospital
  - 2 City Hall
  - 3 Historic Bayfront
  - 4 Library
  - 5 History Museum
  - 6 Courthouse
  - 7 Newport High School
  - 8 Recreation Center





Section A 101 South


"GREAT STREET" TRANSFORMATION



Section B SW 9th Street North

"GREAT STREET" COUPLET PAIR

## COUPLET AT ANGLE STREET



#### EXISTING FEATURES

- 1 City Hall
- 2 Farmer's Market Lot
- 3 Lincoln County Offices
- 4 Rapid Flashing Beacon
- 6 City Parking Lot
- 6 Accountant's Office
- 7 Senior Center

#### **NEW FEATURES**

- 8 Gateway Island
- 9 Bike Facility
- 10 Return Lane
- Redevelopment Site
- Reconfigured Parking
- 13 Potential Overhead Shelter

## COUPLET AT FALL STREET




#### EXISTING FEATURES

- 1 Chamber of Commerce
- 2 Armory
- 3 Dentist Office
- 4 RV Dump Station (relocate)
- Ane's House
- 6 Mary's House
- 7 Museum

#### **NEW FEATURES**

- 8 Gateway Island
- 9 Bike Facility Crossing
- Return Lane
- 11 Redevelopment Site
- Open Space Opportunity
- Reconfigured Parking

## COUPLET PARKING




# URBAN DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT CODE CONCEPTS

### SCENARIO 1

#### Improved Two-Way


Development intensity / options limited under current zoning



### SCENARIO 2

#### "Great Street" Couplet

Higher intensity development with extensive re-zoning



# HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET

#### Scenario 1: Improved Two-Way

Improved crossing and limited streetscape improvements on 101 will improve walking and biking to and through the area.

Limited streetscape improvements do not support commercial on 101 (no parking, continued low quality walking environment).

#### Scenario 2: Couplet (Recommended)

Improved crossings and streetscape on 101 and 9th will improve walking and biking through and to the area.

Improved streetscape on 101 will improve prospects for housing which is an especially suitable development type for long mid block frontages.

# HIGHWAY 101 / SW 9TH STREET

#### Scenario 2 - Recommended







#### Same for both scenarios


Abundant right of way offers significant opportunity for improvement.


Streetscape improvements will improve prospects for commercial and mixed uses (existing and new) at corners and fronting side streets.

Inexpensive, "quick-build" street design or "tactical urbanism" improvements



Side street streetscape improvement options





#### What other cities are doing

#### W. Lancaster Boulevard





Photo Great

#### W. Lancaster Boulevard's successful redesign led to \$130 million in private investment.

- 2 travel lanes and a center turn lane were converted to diagonal parking and plaza space in the center of the street.
- Street trees, benches, and landscaping were added along sidewalks and in the new median.

#### MARKET



On most days, a well-designed center median defined by landscaping and distinct paving provides additional parking to downtown businesses.



On farmers market days and holidays, the central parking turns into a space for vendors, while pedestrians replace cars in the auto lanes, demonstrating how a street can be a place as well as a transportation corridor.

#### A COMPLETE REDESIGN

PARKING







The City of Lancaster completely rebuilt Lancaster Boulevard in eight months.

America California

Main Street


#### What other cities are doing



This example on Hawthorne Boulevard shows how trees can be planted between the vehicle travel lane and the sidewalk.



This example, from Directors Park in downtown Portland, shows how trees can be incorporated into the parking zone of a street.



# OLIVE STREET

Amendments and/or rezoning to support redevelopment on redevelopment sites

Middle housing development



### ZONING

#### LOWER INTENSITY APPROACH

Existing zoning will allow for some multi dwelling (apartment) development on certain opportunity sites.

#### **HIGHER INTENSITY APPROACH**

New zoning will permit a wide variety of housing developments (apartments and middle housing, homeownership and rental) on most opportunity sites.

# ZONING – Housing Types

five story elevator





piexes

mixed use



















# IMPLEMENTATION

### WHO

#### City of Newport

- Newport's Urban Renewal Agency
- Community Development Department
- Housing agency

Local business owners / residents

Developers

Community organizations

State and federal partners (such as ODOT)

### WHAT

Expand housing options / affordability through zoning changes and public-partnerships on city-owned sites.

Support / stabilize local businesses with anti-displacement measures and technical assistance.

Enhance public spaces / amenities to foster a sense of place and accessibility.

Improve transportation infrastructure for pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles.

### WHEN

Implementation is designed over a six-year timeline:

**Short-Term (Years 1–2):** Launch branding initiatives, tenant improvement programs, and visible streetscape enhancements.

Mid-Term (Years 3–4): Begin housing projects on agency-owned sites, secure partnerships for affordable housing, and finalize playgrounds and plazas.

**Long-Term (Years 5–10):** Major infrastructure upgrades underway, establish permanent Farmer's Market facilities, and evaluate progress to refine priorities.

# Highway 101 Corridor

Goal: Create a focal downtown - like other Cities - with unique "flavors" (Nye Beach, Bayfront, South Beach, Agate Beach).

- 1. Establish US 101 corridor as a recognizable, inviting destination for local residents and visitors.
- 2. Create public spaces that enhance livability, promote community interactions, and encourage longer visits.
- 3. Foster a thriving business environment.
- 4. Increase supply of diverse, affordable, and workforce housing in corridor.
- 5. Transform US 101 into a pedestrian-friendly and visually appealing place.



# Highway 20 Corridor

Enhance US 20 as 'first ocean view' gateway corridor.

#### Enhance safety and connectivity for all users.

- Create safe routes to schools by improving crosswalks, sidewalks, and bike lanes.
- Implement traffic calming measures to reduce vehicle speeds near pedestrian areas.

#### Facilitate creation of diverse housing options.

- Conduct site due diligence to identify feasible development opportunities.
- Evaluate rezoning to allow for higher-density and mixed-use residential projects.
- Explore partnerships to develop housing along parallel streets near the high school, leveraging proximity to community amenities.
- Pursue housing development with a range of public and private partners to ensure affordability and accessibility.

### Promote small-scale industrial / commercial opportunities that complement surrounding uses.

- Rehabilitate ex. buildings to accommodate flexible industrial and manufacturing.
- Encourage adaptive reuse of underutilized properties for mixed industrial and commercial activities.





# DISCUSSION

### NEXT CAC MEETING

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #1 - June 28, 2024

**Detailed Project Overview** 

Committee Charter

Review and Refine Plan Goals, Objectives, and

**Project Evaluation Criteria** 

Rank Relative Importance of Goals and

Objectives

Targeted Outreach Interviews

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #2 – Aug. 23, 2024

Review Existing Conditions Memo

(both physical and socio-economic conditions)

Overview of Real Estate Market Conditions

and Trends

Identification of Assets, Barriers,

and Opportunities

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #3 – Oct. 25, 2024

Review Gap Analysis (i.e. gap between likely future development and goals / vision)
Strategies for Addressing Gaps
Materials and Approach to Public Event #1

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #4 – Dec. 13, 2024

Review Results from Public Event #1 Plan and Code Amendments, Investments, and Incentives Needed to Address Gaps

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #5 – Feb. 28, 2025

Review Plan / Code Amendment Concepts, Public Investments, Proposed Incentives (same day as Public Event #2)

Multi-Modal Impact Assessment

#### Advisory Committee Meeting #6 – Apr. 25, 2025

Draft Plan / Code Amendments
Finalize Investment and Incentive
Recommendations