

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR SESSION AGENDA Monday, February 22, 2021 - 7:00 PM City Hall, Council Chambers, 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365

This will be a hybrid meeting which means that it will be held electronically, via Zoom, with a limited number of people (up to 15) allowed to attend in-person. The meeting will be live-streamed at <u>https://newportoregon.gov</u>, and broadcast on Charter Channel 190.

Anyone interested in making public comment is allowed to attend in-person, subject to congregant limitations (up to 15).

Anyone wishing to provide virtual public comment should make a request by noon on the day of the meeting, at publiccomment@newportoregon.gov, and ask for the Zoom meeting information.

Anyone wishing to provide written public comment should send the comment to <u>publiccomment@newportoregon.gov</u>. The e-mail must be received by noon on the scheduled date of the meeting. Written comments received by noon on a Planning Commission meeting date, will be included in the agenda packet. These comments will be acknowledged, at the appropriate time, by the Chair. If a specific request is made to read written public comment into the record during a meeting, staff will be provided a maximum of three minutes to read the comment during the meeting.

The agenda may be amended during the meeting to add or delete items, change the order of agenda items, or discuss any other business deemed necessary at the time of the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

- 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- 2.A Approval of the Planning Commission Work Session Meeting Minutes of February 8, 2021. Draft PC Work Session Minutes 02-08-2021

2.B Approval of the Planning Commission Regular Session Meeting Minutes of February 8, 2021.

Draft PC Reg Session Minutes 02-08-2021

3. CITIZENS/PUBLIC COMMENT

A Public Comment Roster is available immediately inside the Council Chambers. Anyone who would like to address the Planning Commission on any matter not on the agenda will be given the opportunity after signing the Roster. Each speaker should limit comments to three minutes. The normal disposition of these items will be at the next scheduled Planning Commission meeting.

ACTION ITEMS 4.

5. **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- 5.A File 1-SV-21: Vacation of a Portion of SW 2nd Street between Angle St and US 101. Staff Report
 - Attachment A Attachment B Attachment C Attachment D Attachment E Attachment F Attachment G Attachment H **Public Testimony-Josh Bacher** Public Testimony-Russ Johnson Letter for Applicant-Michael C Robinson Public Testimony-Onno Husing
- 6. **NEW BUSINESS**
- 7. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**
- Updated Planning Commission Work Program. 7.A PC Work Program 2-19-21
- DIRECTOR COMMENTS 8.
- 9. ADJOURNMENT

<u>Draft MINUTES</u> City of Newport Planning Commission Work Session Newport City Hall Council Chambers by Video Conference February 8, 2021 6:00 p.m.

Planning Commissioners Present by Video Conference: Jim Patrick, Lee Hardy, Bob Berman, Jim Hanselman, and Bill Branigan.

Planning Commissioners Absent: Jim Patrick (excused), and Gary East.

- <u>PC Citizens Advisory Committee Members Present by Video Conference</u>: Dustin Capri, Braulio Escobar, and Greg Sutton.
- <u>City Staff Present by Video Conference</u>: Community Development Director (CDD) Derrick Tokos; and Executive Assistant, Sherri Marineau.
- 1. <u>Call to Order</u>. Chair Patrick called the Planning Commission work session to order at 6:02 p.m.

2. <u>Unfinished Business</u>.

A. <u>Summary of Fall/Winter Transportations System Plan Outreach and Upcoming Schedule</u>. Tokos reviewed the summary of the outreach and noted the summary would be presented to the project advisory committee when they met on February 25. It would be refined and brought back to the public in May. The adoption would be happen in late summer, early fall.

Tokos reviewed the summary tables that showed the results of the outreach. Berman suggested putting boxes around the tables to indicate they weren't a part of the page's text. Branigan asked how many people participated in the open houses. Tokos reported they had just shy of 300 visitors, and they had over 30 people in the virtual workshop. The written survey was sent out to persons 60 years or older. They sent out over 1,800 surveys and got 306 back. Berman asked why this wasn't done as a universal mailing. He didn't get a survey mailed to him and thought they should be getting a broader representation of the community instead of the targeted group. Tokos explained that the intention was to get a representative sample of the population. He felt it accomplished this in the population range that was 60 and over. Berman thought the advisory committee approved it to be a universal mailing. He hoped that when they narrowed down the options and did more outreach, they would do this in a broader range. Tokos explained that they couldn't do the outreach events in person because of the pandemic, and noted that the people who chose to volunteer and were already engaged in city civic issues tended to be the ones who showed up. He noted that they did a Spanish language outreach as well. This was in addition to stakeholder interviews. Hanselman asked if there were numbers for these participants. He noted that there had been concerns but when participants would get to talk to the planners and people from the State. Hanselman asked if this was part of the plan or would the data collection be restricted to online and brief surveys. Tokos explained at this time they didn't have any in person workshops planned, and the ones that were originally planned for the spring were scrapped. The virtual workshop was intended to offer the opportunity to interact with the project team. They also offered the online open house and the targeted surveys as well. Hanselman asked if in the future participants could talk to planners. Tokos confirmed there would be another opportunity for this in the next round where there would be a virtual workshop and they would do outreach for it. There would be another online open house with the design team so the public could engage and participate. This would be advertised broadly and there would be a planner from the State (James Feldman) and the project team involved.

Berman asked if there would be any public hearings. Tokos confirmed there would be. Berman noted this would also be a time for people to give input. Tokos reminded that there would be some additional outreach done in

May and there were three more TSP Advisory Committee meetings where the public could participate. The public could also submit additional comments on the website as well. Tokos noted that the stakeholder meeting information was already posted on the website.

Tokos reviewed the feedback summaries next. He noted that they would be looking at the in-depth comments and work on aligning them with the concept moving forward. Berman thought the age distribution showed the groups that had been reached out to were the ones who responded. He thought the next phase needed broader outreach and they should try to get feedback from the younger community. Tokos reported that the school district sent the information out multiple times to their community to get participation. He reminded that people engaged only if they wanted to. Berman thought this outreach needed to be noted in the methods so it included other types of outreach such as the school district. Hanselman suggested working with the Hatfield population in future surveys or data collection to pick up the younger population. Capri thought a leadership program should be utilized as well. Tokos would talk to the consultant to add the citywide postcard and utility bill notices that were also sent out in the summary.

Berman noted that on Page 9 of the outreach summary they should change "Bayfront Beach" to "Bayfront and Nye Beach." The first bullet line should also be changed from "site" to "sight."

B. Second Review of Draft Revisions to Implement HB 2001 (2019) Related to Duplexes, Townhouses, and Cottage Cluster Development. Tokos reviewed the draft revisions and discussed the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) policy alternatives. He reviewed each option and asked for feedback. Tokos noted they could go with either option or float them both and get feedback at public hearings. Hanselman preferred Option 2 and was opposed to seeing lots with three dwelling units. They shouldn't exacerbate the issue when they were already allowing a duplex on a lot. He didn't see the need to increase the density from two to three in a R-1 zone. Hanselman thought ADUs would be problems for the city because some of them could be used illegally as short-term rentals. Capri thought the revisions were the minimum they could do. He didn't think the problem they had for housing in Newport was a minimum problem, it was a major problem. Capri reminded that there was a limit to the number of short-term rentals in the area. Adding more doors in the area meant more housing for people. Berman thought it should be open for discussion and thought they should leave both options on the table and see if the public gave testimony on this at the public hearings. He wanted to see both included but was inclined to go with Option 2. Capri wanted the Commission to look at this as a bigger picture for housing.

Hardy thought they should consider the impact on the infrastructure and the recent construction, and the ongoing construction of multi-family dwellings. The real estate market itself had diminished the amount of excess housing which had traditionally become rental housing. There was also the fact that when people were looking for a place to live they could be picky and didn't always accept what was available. Hardy reported that she had worked in property management for 38 years and didn't see a shortage of housing. She saw a shortage of qualified tenants, and a poor income level to support housing. If they were looking at the cost of construction today and trying to build affordable housing, they would run into a roadblock in terms of finances. Hanselman agreed with a lot of what Hardy said but didn't see how density for ADUs got them out of the housing problems. They would be better served by trying to motivate and change tax law to assist developers in housing development.

Escobar noted that former City Engineer, Tim Gross noted at another meeting that the ability of the sewer system and existing infrastructure to handle greater densities on some of the existing lots was a concern. Escobar explained that this was why he was in favor of Option 2. Capri noted that ADUs weren't economical and he didn't see there being a huge influx of these being built. Sutton agreed with Hardy and didn't want to see all of the high density housing being pushed into one area and thought it should be distributed around town.

Tokos noted that what he was hearing was it was reasonable to float the two options as part of the package, take testimony, and they would decide where they want to land after a public hearing. Berman asked if what they were talking about was just the R-1 zone or others. Tokos reported this would apply to ADUs in all circumstances and there wouldn't be a separate allowance for R-2, R-3 & R-4 zones. He noted that this didn't

matter so much in the R-3 and R-4 zones because the density provisions were such that they could get to that same place with a three plex, four plex or five plex. This would apply more to the R-1 and R-2 zones.

Tokos reviewed the on-street parking credit revisions and noted that this wasn't mandated but was encouraged. What he had heard from prior discussion with the Commission was that there was clear discomfort in doing this in areas where on-street parking wasn't provided on both sides of the street. Tokos noted that he added clarifying language that the space to be credited should be completely abutting on the same side of the street as the subject property. He encouraged the Commission to take testimony on this because parking was a challenge in the city. They needed to carefully consider where it made sense to allow an on-street credit.

Hanselman asked if the 22 feet abutting the property included the distance used for the curb cut for the driveway. Tokos explained it did, unless it was a corner lot. There wouldn't be a tremendous number of spaces and it would be two to three at most. Hanselman thought it would make a difference by allowing for the fact that not both sides of the street might not be standard. This was why he liked parking to be required off-street. Hanselman wanted to raise the issue on why a single family needed two off-street parking spaces and each unit of the duplex was only required to have one. He didn't think duplexes should be treated differently in their parking requirements because they put more pressure on street parking. Hanselman thought duplexes should be treated the same as a single family dwelling and felt this would stay more in line with what the State was saying to keep duplexes the same as single family. Berman wanted to see this left in so they could see what people thought about it. He thought one space per duplex wasn't consistent, and should be one and half or two spaces. Berman thought it should be opened up for a discussion or pointed out that there were two options. He was okay with leaving the language in for public hearings.

Capri asked if there were different parking requirements for different areas. Tokos explained that the parking districts had their own set of rules and those rules could be revisited and discussed in their own context. This discussion was not about the special parking districts and outside of these areas. Tokos noted that they added language to address substandard streets to say they had to have on-street parking available on both sides of the street in the area. Carpi asked if there were any areas in the city outside of the parking districts that had parking issues. Tokos noted the street Hanselman lived on was substandard and had issues. The provisions were drafted for areas with fully developed streets. Hanselman wouldn't have a problem if they established a standard width for streets. Capri noted these profiles were in the transportation section. Hanselman thought they needed to think about safety and have the streets wide enough to be travelled on without impeding traffic.

Berman asked on page 13 of 26 if "B" meant they had to submit a landscape plan. Tokos would look at how this synced up and reported the intent was to not subject one and two family to landscaping requirements.

Tokos noted what he was hearing was for him and go ahead to notice so there was a public hearing. This way there would be enough time for hearings and not be rushed.

C. Updated Planning Commission Work Program. No discussion was heard.

3. <u>New Business</u>.

A. <u>Goal Setting Discussion for FY 2021-22.</u> Tokos reviewed the goals for the Commission for the FY 2021-2022. Berman thought the Nye Beach discussion needed to be carried forward as a goal. Hanselman thought this was appropriate.

Tokos reviewed the department goals next. Berman suggested normalizing city limits especially in South Beach because the city limits were an obstacle for planning. He asked if there could be an updated city limits map so they could see where the lines were on a more detailed level. Tokos thought this was a logical next step coming out of the core study to put in place the land use regulation changes relative to commercial and industrial zone lands. Then they could segue into getting more aggressive into annexation being done in a compulsory manner, which would be an island annexation, otherwise they would need property owner consent. If there was a

property that was surrounded they could go ahead with an island annexation provision. Berman wanted access to a high resolution map of with city limits and the UGB.

Tokos noted that what he was hearing was to carry forward the targeted changes to Nye Beach, which was on the prior list, and add in an island annexation piece as well. There was general consensus with this. Tokos would take this back to the City Council. He would double back with the Commission when he saw where the Council landed and where their priorities were.

4. <u>Adjourn.</u> The meeting adjourned at 6:14 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherri Marineau, Executive Assistant

Draft MINUTES City of Newport Planning Commission Regular Session Newport City Hall Council Chambers by Video Conference February 8, 2021

Planning Commissioners Present by Video Conference: Lee Hardy, Jim Hanselman, Bill Branigan, and Bob Berman.

Planning Commissioners Absent: Jim Patrick (excused), and Gary East.

<u>City Staff Present by Video Conference</u>: Community Development Director (CDD), Derrick Tokos; and Executive Assistant, Sherri Marineau.

1. <u>Call to Order & Roll Call</u>. Vice Chair Branigan called the meeting to order in the City Hall Council Chambers at 7:20 p.m. On roll call, Commissioners Hardy, Hanselman, Branigan, and Berman were present.

2. <u>Approval of Minutes</u>.

A. Approval of the Planning Commission Work and Regular Session Meeting Minutes of January 25, 2021.

MOTION was made by Commissioner Berman, seconded by Commissioner Hardy to approve the Planning Commission Work and Regular Session Meeting Minutes of January 25, 2021 with minor corrections. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

- 3. <u>Citizen/Public Comment</u>. None were heard.
- 4. <u>Action Items</u>.

A. Initiate Legislative Process to Amend Land Use Regulations to Implement HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and Cottage Cluster Standards.

MOTION was made by Commissioner Berman, seconded by Commissioner Hanselman to initiate the legislative process to amen land use regulations to implement HB 2001 duplex, townhouse, and cottage cluster standards. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

- 5. <u>Public Hearings</u>. None were heard.
- 6. <u>New Business</u>. None were heard.
- 7. <u>Unfinished Business</u>. None were heard.

8. <u>Director Comments</u>. Hanselman noted that because of the COVID pandemic, they were learning that many of the younger students in Newport had trouble gaining access to the internet. He asked if there was a way for the city to get Wi-Fi coverage everywhere in the city with the 5G operators, because there were families finding it difficult to afford internet connection. Berman liked the idea and thought it could be negotiated as part of the franchise agreements. He noted 5G wouldn't be in the city anytime soon but he thought it was a good idea. Tokos noted what they often saw was communities going after State and Federal funding to build up rural wireless capabilities. Funding was the carrot to make it cost effective for the providers. Rural communities weren't as attractive to serve as the urban area and the question was how they

made them attractive to serve. Tokos explained there may be opportunities relative to franchise agreements, but it probably wasn't something they had the capacity to put into the permitting process.

9. <u>Adjournment</u>. Having no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:29 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherri Marineau Executive Assistant

PLANNING STAFF REPORT Case File 1-SV-21

- A. <u>APPLICANT:</u> Brett Fox, representing 2nd Street Newport, LLC (initiated by the Newport City Council on November 16, 2020).
- B. **REQUEST:** Vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. The developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC, intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Courthouse Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commercial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers.
- C. <u>SUBJECT PROPERTY</u>: Real property abutting the right-of-way to be vacated is identified as Parcel 2 of Partition Plat 2015-06 (Tax Lot 15900 of Lincoln County Assessor's Map 11-11-08-BA). Its address is 104 SW Second Street.

D. STAFF REPORT

- 1. **REPORT OF FACT**
 - a. **<u>Plan Designation:</u>** Commercial.
 - b. Zone Designation: C-1/"Retail and Service Commercial."
 - c. <u>Surrounding Land Uses:</u> Retail commercial uses to the north. County administrative offices to the west, Newport City Hall and a gas station to the east (opposite US 101), and a law office to the south.
 - d. **<u>Topography and Vegetation</u>**: The 2,198+/- square feet to be vacated is relatively level and paved.
 - e. Existing Structures: None.
 - f. <u>Utilities:</u> There is a 6-inch diameter public water line and 8-inch diameter public storm drainage line within the area that is to be vacated. A public sanitary sewer line and cleanout are situated just outside of the subject area.
 - g. **Development Constraints:** None known.
 - h. **Past Land Use Actions:** None relevant to the right-of-way.

i. <u>Notification:</u> Notification to abutting and affected property owners, to City departments, and to public/private utilities/agencies was mailed on January 29, 2021. Notice of this Planning Commission public hearing was also published in the Newport News-Times on February 5, 2021, February 12, 2021, and February 17, 2021, and signs were posted on the premises.

j. <u>Attachments:</u>

- Attachment "A" November 12, 2020 letter from Michael Robinson, Attorney, representing 2nd Street Newport, LLC, requesting the City Council initiate the street vacation process.
- Attachment "B" Minutes from the November 16, 2020 City Council meeting where the Council initiated the street vacation process.
- Attachment "C" Map illustrating the area to be vacated.
- Attachment "D" Legal description of the area to be vacated
- Attachment "E" Conceptual site plan of the Gravity Coffee drive through, landscaping, and redesigned driveway approach (would fall partially within the vacated right-of-way).
- Attachment "F" 2018 Aerial image showing utilities.
- Attachment "G" Copy of ORS Chapter 271.080 to 271.230.
- Attachment "H" Notice and mailing list for the public hearing.

2. **Explanation of the Request for Street Vacation:**

Bret Fox, on behalf of the owner 2nd Street Newport, LLC, is looking to develop a drive through coffee establishment on vacant property on the west side of US 101 across from Newport City Hall. It is in the vicinity of the Courthouse Café that was demolished a number of years ago. The site is accessed from SW Angle Street, through a small section of SW 2nd Street that was isolated when Highway 101 was placed in its present alignment. The landscaped area, immediately south of SW 2nd Street, is owned by the State of Oregon and is the former location of a clock tower.

Mr. Fox would like the City to vacate the northerly 30-feet of this isolated, 3,500+/sq. ft. segment of SW 2nd Street to facilitate a drive through design that maximizes vehicle queuing and allows for the driveway to be realigned in a manner that is more intuitive to drivers. Mr. Fox would landscape areas along US 101, SW Angle Street, and between the coffee establishment and driveway. This is discussed in a letter from his attorney, Michael Robinson, to the Newport City Council, dated November 12, 2020 (Attachment "A"). A map illustrating the area to be vacated (Attachment "C") and text legal description (Attachment "D") have been prepared by Udell Engineering and Land Surveying, LLC. A conceptual site plan illustrates how the coffee establishment would be situated on the property following the street vacation (Attachment "E"). City water, sewer, and storm drainage infrastructure exists within the area that would be vacated and a utility easement would need to be reserved so that the City can maintain the utilities. The alignment of the utilities is depicted on a 2018 aerial image of the property (Attachment "F"). Mr. Fox has been informed that he would be responsible for reconfiguring utilities impacted by his project.

On November 16, 2020, the Newport City Council elected to begin the street vacation process (Attachment "B"). This was done in accordance with policies the Council adopted to govern when it would initiate street vacation proceedings. Those policies require consideration of (a) the extent of public benefit; (b) the extent of present and anticipated future use of the right-of-way; (c) potential environmental and geologic impacts; (d) financial factors; (e) effect on property owners; (f) consistency with applicable plans, ordinances and regulations; and (g) the amount and quality of the information provided by the person requesting the vacation.

3. Evaluation of the Request for Street Vacation:

- a. <u>Comments:</u> Abutting and affected property owners, city departments and public/private utilities/agencies were notified on January 29, 2021. Notice of this Planning Commission public hearing was also published in the Newport News-Times on February 5, 2021, February 12, 2021, and February 17, 2021 and signs were posted on the premises (Attachment "H"). No comments were received in response to this proposal.
- b. **Planning Commission Review Required:** Pursuant to NMC 14.52.030, Approving Authorities, the City Council will decide street vacation proposals following a public hearing and upon receipt of a recommendation from the Planning Commission.

c. Applicable Criteria set forth in Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.130:

- i. Have the owners of a majority of the area affected, computed on the basis provided in ORS 271.080, objected in writing to the vacation of the subject street; and
- ii. Will the vacation of the right-of-way adversely affect the market value of abutting properties and, if so, have those owners consented in writing to the vacation; and
- iii. Has notice has been duly given [required for hearing before the City Council, pursuant to ORS 271.080]; and,
- iv. Will the public interest will be prejudiced by the vacation of such plat or street or parts thereof [arguably required by ORS 271.130(1) through its cross reference to ORS 271.080].

<u>NMC Chapter 14.26 Maintenance of Public Access</u>: The city shall review, under ORS 271.080 - 271.230, proposals for the vacation of public easements or rights-of-way that provide access to or along the Yaquina Estuary or the Pacific Ocean. The city shall review, under ORS 271.300 - 271.360, proposals for the sale, exchange, or transfer of public ownership that provide access to or along the Yaquina Estuary or the Pacific Ocean. Existing public ownerships, rights-of-way, and similar public easements that provide access to or along the estuary or the ocean shall be retained or replaced if they are sold, ex-changed, or transferred. Rights-of-way may be vacated to permit

e. Staff Analysis:

across the affected site is retained.

d.

The Planning Commission reviews this request and makes a recommendation to the City Council. In order to recommend approval of the request, the Planning Commission must find that the applicant's proposal meets (or is capable of meeting) the following criteria:

redevelopment of existing developed shoreland areas, provided public access

i. <u>Have the owners of a majority of the area affected, computed on</u> the basis provided in ORS 271.080, objected in writing to the vacation of the subject streets; and;

Notice of this proposal to vacate the listed street segment was provided to affected property owners on January 29, 2021. Pursuant to ORS 271.080, individuals entitled to notice are those that own property within a notice area that extends 400 feet beyond the terminal points, and 200 feet perpendicular to, a stretch of right-of-way that is being vacated. A list of the affected owners is included with this report (Attachment "H"). If the owners of two-thirds of the land area that is subject to notice object in writing then the street vacations cannot proceed. To date, the City has not received any written objections to this street vacation proposal.

ii. <u>Will the vacation of the right-of-way adversely affect the market</u> value of abutting properties and, if so, have those owners consented in writing to the vacation; and

The party requesting the street vacation, 2nd Street Newport, LLC, is the only abutting property owner. Road right-of-way abuts the area to be vacated on all other sides. This request by 2nd Street Newport, LLC, through their attorney Michael Robinson, serves as evidence of the abutting property owner's consent to the street vacation (Attachment "A"). The street right-of-way at issue is not needed to provide access to abutting property and when vacated will accrue to the 2nd Street Newport, LLC parcel, increasing its size. For these reasons, it is reasonable for the Planning Commission to conclude that the requisite consent has been provided and that vacating the right-of-way will not adversely impact the abutting properties.

iii. <u>Whether notice has been duly given [required for hearing before the</u> <u>City Council, pursuant to ORS 271.080]; and,</u>

The Newport Municipal Code does not contain notice requirements for this type of Planning Commission hearing, and ORS Chapter 271 does not require Planning Commission action on a street vacation proposal. Abutting and affected owners received written notice as provided in ORS 271.080, notice was published in the News-Times, and notice was posted on the premises (Attachment "H"). This is sufficient for the Commission to find that this requirement has been satisfied.

iv. Whether the public interest will be prejudiced by the vacation of such plat or street or parts thereof [arguably required by ORS 271.130(1) through its cross reference to ORS 271.080].

While the subject segment of SW 2nd Street is presently developed as a street, it stopped serving that purpose in a meaningful way when the highway was realigned and its approach onto US 101 was terminated. Its only real purpose now is to serve as a driveway access to the 2nd Street Newport, LLC commercial site. The City presently has utilities within the affected area; however, that need can be addressed with an easement reservation. Vacating the right-of-way will allow the proposed development to proceed in a manner that will enhance existing landscaping, and result in a driveway approach onto SW Angle Street that is more intuitive to drivers. Development of the property will also have a positive impact on the tax base. The only property that this street serves is the 2nd Street Newport, LLC commercial site, so vacating the street segment would not impact access to other property now or in the future. For these reasons, it is reasonable for the Planning Commission to conclude that the public interest will not be prejudiced by the vacation.

v. As outlined in NMC Chapter 14.26 Maintenance of Public Access, the city shall review, under ORS 271.080 - 271.230, proposals for the vacation of public easements or rights-of-way that provide access to or along the Yaquina Estuary or the Pacific Ocean. The city shall review, under ORS 271.300 - 271.360, proposals for the sale, exchange, or transfer of public ownership that provide access to or along the Yaquina Estuary or the Pacific Ocean. Existing public ownerships, rights-of-way, and similar public easements that provide access to or along the estuary or the ocean shall be retained or replaced if they are sold, ex-changed, or transferred. Rights-of-way may be vacated to permit redevelopment of existing developed shoreland areas, provided public access across the affected site is retained. The right-of-way proposed to be vacated is well removed from the Pacific Ocean and Yaquina Estuary and is not relied upon to access these areas. Considering the above, the City does not need to retain the right-of-way, or require that it be replaced, in order to ensure public access to the ocean or estuary.

- 4. <u>Conclusion:</u> If the Planning Commission finds that the proposal meets the minimum City and statutory criteria (or is capable of meeting the criteria) established for the street vacation, the Commission may forward a favorable recommendation to the City Council. If the Planning Commission finds that the criteria have not been met (or are not capable of being met), the Commission should identify changes necessary to meet the criteria or should deny all or part of the request.
- D. <u>STAFF RECOMMENDATION</u>: Findings contained in this report establish that the street vacation proposal can satisfy City and statutory approval standards provided the following conditions are imposed:
 - 1. The Newport City Council should reserve a utility easement over the area to be vacated.

Derrick I. Tokos, AICP Community Development Director City of Newport

February 12, 2021

Attachment "A" 1-SV-21



November 12, 2020

Michael C. Robinson

Admitted in Oregon T: 503-796-3756 C: 503-407-2578 mrobinson@schwabe.com

VIA E-MAIL

Mayor Dean Sawyer Newport City Council Newport City Hall 169 SW Coast Highway Newport, OR 97365

RE: Initiation of Vacation of Public Right-of-Way by Newport City Council; November 16, 2020 Newport City Council Agenda Item

Dear Mayor Sawyer and Newport City Council Members:

This office represents Mr. Bret Fox and 2nd Street Newport, LLC. Mr. Fox has developed the retail center northwest of the corner of US Highway 101 and SW 2nd Street. As the City Council knows, there is an area near this corner which contains both unvacated City right-of-way and property owned by the Oregon Department of Transportation ("ODOT") (**Exhibit 1**). Neither area is being used for public street purposes nor is that likely to be the case in the future. This agenda item requests that the Newport City Council initiate a vacation of the City right-of-way and the area now owned by ODOT after a transfer of the ownership from ODOT to the City of Newport (the "City"). Mr. Fox agrees with the Staff Report to the City Council.

Mr. Fox would like to obtain this area through a vacation in order to do two things. First, to reconfigure the existing driveway to the retail center so that vehicle access is safer and, second, to add to the existing landscape area and to maintain the landscaped area at his cost. By vacating this area, the City will support the retail center, the landscaped area will be increased and the City will not have to maintain the landscaping.

ORS 271.130 allows a City Council on its own motion to initiate and vacate City right-of-way. While the owners of the majority of the affected area as defined in ORS 271.080(2) must not object to the vacation, a City Council initiated-vacation is a more streamlined process than a property owner-initiated process and still provides for a public hearing.

Additionally, the City Council can find that the City Council's October 6, 2008 "Policy on Requests for City-Initiated Right-of Way-Vacations" (the "Policy") is met by this request. The City's Council's Policy contains seven substantive factors, all of which can be found by the City Council to be met in this case. The factors that are met are:

Mayor Dean Sawyer November 12, 2020 Page 2

- 1. There is a public benefit to the vacation because the area will become part of an existing retail center and will be subject to property taxes and the undeveloped area will be landscaped and maintained by the property owner, alleviating the City from the cost of maintaining that area.
- 2. The area is not presently used for nor is it needed in the future for public transportation purposes; it serves only as access to the retail center.
- 3. There are no known environmental or geologic impacts.
- 4. The financial factors benefit the City and its residents.
- 5. There is no burden imposed on near-by property owners; for example, no property owner is deprived of access or on-street parking.
- 6. The vacation is consistent generally with development policies in the City's acknowledged Comprehensive Plan.
- 7. Mr. Fox will provide all of the information needed by City staff to evaluate the vacation proposal.

Mr. Fox understands that the decision to initiate the street vacation is not a guarantee of approval of the street vacation. However, Mr. Fox would appreciate the City Council adopting a motion to initiate the vacation and, as he has done so far, pledges to cooperate fully with City staff on the vacation process and to appear at the subsequent public hearing in order to make the case for the vacation and to answer questions that the City Council may have.

Mr. Fox and I will be present at the November 16, 2020 City Council meeting in order to answer questions from the City Council.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this request.

Very truly yours,

Muhal CPalr

Michael C. Robinson

MCR:jmhi Enclosure

cc Mr. Derrick Tokos (via email) (w/enclosure) Mr. Spencer Nebel (via email) (w/enclosure) Mr. Bret Fox (via email) (w/enclosure)

PDX\136888\257225\MCR\29429438.1

ഗ

CITY COUNCIL

November 16, 2020 6:00 P.M. Newport, Oregon

The Newport City Council met on the above date and time in the Council Chambers of the Newport City Hall. On roll call, Collett, Goebel, Hall, Botello, Jacobi, Sawyer, and Parker were present.

Staff in attendance was Spencer Nebel, City Manager; Peggy Hawker, City Recorder/Special Projects Director; David Allen, City Attorney; Rob Murphy, Fire Chief; Jason Malloy, Police Chief; Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director; and Chris Janigo, Interim City Engineer.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Council, staff, and the audience participated in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ADDITION TO THE AGENDA

MOTION was made by Parker, seconded by Hall, to add Update on COVID-19 Related to City Facilities and Programming as agenda item 6.G. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Kent Doughty, a representative of the Audubon Society of Lincoln City, stated the society plans to propose Cape Foulweather as a Marine Conservation Area under the state's rocky habitat management strategy. He noted the area needs special designation in order to keep those areas pristine for years to come. He explained tourists, residents, the habitat, education, and wildlife will benefit from the designation. He added the designation will protect nesting seabirds, increase community involvement, and protect landscapes. He indicated designation will not change commercial or recreational fishing or harvesting regulations or restrict access to tidepools. He invited Council to visit http://www.lincolncityaudubon.org/ for more information and to share input.

CONSENT CALENDAR

The consent calendar consisted of the following items:

A. Approve Minutes of the Work Session of November 2, 2020;

B. Approve Minutes of the Regular Session of November 2, 2020;

C. Ratify the Mayor's Appointment of Sandy Roumagoux to Fill an At-large Vacancy to the Short-Term Rental Ordinance Implementation Work Group for a Term Expiring December 31, 2020;

D. Receive the Monthly Financial Report;

E. Receive the Approved Committee Minutes.

Parker noted the work group position expires December 31, 2021.

MOTION was made by Goebel, seconded by Hall, to approve the consent calendar as amended. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

COMMUNICATIONS

<u>From Jan Kaplan Regarding Status of Establishment of a Nye Beach Neighborhood</u> <u>Association</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported on October 1, 2018, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 3827 which outlines the requirements for creating a neighborhood association within the City of Newport. He stated the resolution establishes the criteria for recognition of a neighborhood association, which include the development of a governing document, commitment that meetings should be well-publicized, encouraging full participation of neighbors within the group, that the association shall be open to the total area, and diversity of interest present in the neighborhood will be represented. He noted Jan Kaplan would like to update the Council on efforts to establish a Nye Beach neighborhood association under the terms of this resolution.

Kaplan, a Newport resident, updated Council on efforts to organize a neighborhood association in Nye Beach. He noted one of the challenges is gathering people during COVID, and any outreach ideas are welcome. He added a draft mission statement is in the packet.

Tom Briggs, a Newport resident, emphasized that this will provide a forum for neighbors to meet neighbors. Jacobi thanked Kaplan and Briggs and praised the mission statement. Hall confirmed the group is working on bylaws at this time. Hall asked how would the boundaries be determined for the neighborhood association. Kaplan replied in the draft bylaws, they have adopted the historic Nye Beach district. He added they are trying to be as inclusive as possible.

Goebel commended the group for its efforts. Parker asked what percentage of residents are in support of this. He asked how much outreach has been done to working families, the younger generation, and the Hispanic community. He noted it would be nice to see cross-representation of all neighborhood residents. Kaplan agreed. He explained it is a small group that has begun the process of reaching out. He added he is trying to figure out the outreach strategy. Parker clarified the outreach email is Nyeneighbors@gmail.com.

Botello suggested developing an organizational chart and list of members. She recommended writing down the process so that this can be replicated in other neighborhoods. She clarified this idea come about socially.

Collett noted there is a Nye Beach Merchants Association and suggested reaching out to them.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

<u>Request for Council Initiation of the Vacation of a Portion of SW 2nd Street between</u> <u>SW Angle Street and Highway 101</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported across from City Hall, there is a small segment of 2nd Street that extends from the intersection of Angle Street and runs to a dead-end at the sidewalk along US Highway 101 south of the Antique Mall parking lot. He noted this section of 2nd Street occurred with the realignment of Highway 101. He stated the developer who owns the adjacent strip mall that the Antique Mall is part of is Bret Fox. He is proposing to build a drive-thru coffee establishment on the site of the former Courthouse Cafe which was demolished several years ago. He indicated Fox is requesting that the city vacate the 3,500 square foot segment of SW 2nd Street, so he can construct a driveway approach into the commercial property, that conforms with city standards, which is more intuitive to drivers than the dead-end of 2nd Street that currently exists for this purpose.

Nebel reported the City Council's action recommended for tonight is to initiate this process. He noted this is not a decision of Council's on the merits of the street vacation. He stated this will be forwarded to the Planning Commission for their consideration, and a decision to vacate the street would be a separate action by the Council after considering the recommendation from the Planning Commission and any public comments received regarding this possible action.

Goebel clarified the nearby triangle park could be obtained by the city or the property owner. He clarified the location of the proposal. He asked if the county has been informed of this proposal. Tokos replied the developer plans to reach out to the county.

Allen explained if Council initiates the vacation, this will eventually be a quasi-judicial proceeding at Council. He suggested refraining from speaking with developer or Councilors will have disclose ex parte contact.

Botello asked if the Angle Street entry is going to be changed. Nebel replied the driveway would be better defined, and the corner cleaned up. He noted Angle Street would be slightly narrowed. Botello confirmed there is planning to manage traffic. Hall emphasized the need to evaluate a left turn onto Highway 101. Collett confirmed there would not be a traffic analysis because the volume is too low. Collett suggested incorporating something internal to the parking lot so that people do not try to circumvent Highway 101 through the parking lot.

MOTION was made by Parker, seconded by Goebel, to initiate the vacation of a portion of SW 2nd Street between SW Angle Street and Highway 101 with the developer paying a filing fee of \$845 and any surveying and recording costs incurred as part of this process. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

Sawyer requested the clock return to the triangle property.

<u>Approval of an Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with the</u> <u>League of Oregon Cities</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported the League of Oregon Cities is a member of the National Purchasing Partners (NPPGov), which provides for intergovernmental cooperative purchasing for public safety, first responders, law enforcement education, and EMS. He stated NPPGov provides group purchasing, marketing, and administrative support for governmental entities, which includes master price agreements. He noted this process satisfies the bidding and procurement requirements for goods and services where NPPGov has conducted a procurement process. He indicated the League of Oregon Cities is the lead contracting agency within the state of Oregon. He added the City of Newport would be designated as a participating agency if Council authorizes involvement in this joint purchasing program.

Nebel reported the reason for exploring this option is that there is a recommendation on the Local Contract Review Board agenda to proceed with a five-year lease/purchase to replace the existing tasers used by the Police Department. He stated the tasers currently used by the department will no longer be supported, so there is a need to consider replacing these units. He noted the city could utilize the joint purchasing agreement with the League of Oregon Cities for this purchase.

MOTION was made by Goebel, seconded by Hall, to approve an Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with the League of Oregon Cities and authorize the City Manager to execute a participating agency endorsement and authorization agreement with the League of Oregon Cities to participate in the intergovernmental cooperative purchasing agreement entities that are members of the National Purchasing Partners. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

<u>Approval of Amendment No. 2 to the Intergovernmental Agreement with the State of</u> <u>Oregon for Motor Vehicle Fuel Dealer Tax Collection Services</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported since 2009, the City of Newport has had an agreement with the State of Oregon acting through the Department of Transportation for the collection of Newport's local gas tax. He noted the current agreement for this service expired in October 2020; Amendment No. 2 will extend the agreement to October 1, 2030. He stated the agreement also names the City Manager as the project manager, and the Finance Director as the city contact.

MOTION was made by Parker, seconded by Goebel, to approve Amendment No. 2 to the intergovernmental agreement with the State of Oregon for motor vehicle fuel dealer tax collection services. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

<u>Report and Potential Action on Electric Vehicle Charging Policy for the City of</u> <u>Newport</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported on November 2, the City Council met in work session to discuss policies regarding electric vehicle charging stations. He noted the city had an opportunity to participate in a program to provide charging stations and employee work places through Forth Empowering Mobility. He explained, unfortunately, with COVID-19 and the budgetary impacts on the city, the City Council opted not to proceed with this project due to the installation costs necessary to put these chargers in place. He stated since that time, there have been several developments that have occurred with electric vehicle charging in the city.

Nebel reported there are three areas in which the city can influence and support the use and advancement of electric vehicles in the City of Newport. He stated the first is through the installation of electric vehicle chargers for public use. He noted as the number of electric vehicles are increasing, there is also corresponding opportunities for expansion, and opportunities for individuals to charge their vehicles in the community. He explained when the city was discussing the provision of vehicle chargers through Forth Mobility, the city would be the provider and maintainer of electric charging stations on public property on various locations. He indicated in reviewing this original plan, there were concerns that the city may not be in the best position to operate and maintain charging stations for the public. He added the city may play a more sustainable role by providing financial support to others who would provide the charging stations.

Nebel reported this could be done through support from the Urban Renewal District to incentivize national companies such as ChargePoint to install chargers at specific locations. He stated the city could incentivize private property owners to install chargers such as the Oregon Coast Aquarium utilizing Urban Renewal Agency funds. He recommended the city administration develop locations within the Urban Renewal Districts where Urban Renewal funds could help incentivize charging stations from national companies and/or local businesses for charging stations located in the Urban Renewal boundaries. He added city-owned locations where commercial charging stations

could be located, include the Ernest Bloch Wayside and the Angle Street parking lot as examples.

Nebel reported the second area in which the City can influence electric vehicle charging opportunities is through city codes. He stated the city can adopt the optional statewide code provisions which require new developments of certain sizes, to install conduit for future electric vehicle charging purposes. He recommended Council initiate a code amendment requiring certain development projects to install electric conduit capable of supporting future electric vehicle charging locations as part of that development. He explained the third area in which the city could encourage and support electric vehicles is through the development of a policy impacting the City organization. He suggested the city develop a policy where employees can charge electric vehicles at worksites, with a monthly payroll deduction established to offset electric use by those employees. He recommended the city require departments to analyze the possibility of utilizing e-vehicles or hybrids for any passenger vehicle purchases requested in the annual budget.

Jacobi asked why the fourth recommendation is restricted to passenger vehicles. She asked if the recommendation could include trucks or police vehicles. Goebel agreed. Nebel replied there are limited options for larger vehicles, but Council can modify that recommendation. Goebel suggested modifying the recommendation to any city vehicles. Parker noted there are limited options and a need to locate where the city vehicle charger station would be. He suggested replacing passenger vehicle with fleet vehicle purchases either plug-in hybrid or all electric based on market availability at the time of purchase. The Council reached consensus to change the fourth recommendation to reflect Parker's suggestion.

Botello confirmed charging stations last at least a decade. Discussion ensued on charging station infrastructure. Botello asked if other cities have incentives for employees. Parker replied the state and federal government provide credits in addition to utility companies. He noted it is common in other cities to have a payroll deduction for employees who utilize the charger.

Goebel suggested changing the fourth recommendation from analyze to prioritize. Nebel replied based on the current wording, department heads know that it is Council's intent to move toward electric vehicles.

MOTION was made by Parker, seconded by Botello, to approve the policies as amended regarding electric vehicle charging stations as outlined in the City Manager's report. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

<u>Update on COVID-19 Business Assistance Grants</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported during the COVID-19 emergency, the City of Newport, in consultation with the taxing entities impacted by the Urban Renewal Agency, contributed \$1 million in unrestricted interested earnings from the South Beach Urban Renewal District tax increment to assist small businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic. He stated these funds were broken down into two separate programs with \$900,000 administered directly by the City of Newport, and \$100,000 pooled with an equivalent amount of funding from Lincoln County, to leverage funding from the State of Oregon for small business assistance. He noted the packet report from Community Development Director, Derrick Tokos, outlines the funding used to assist Newport's critical small businesses of \$900,000.

Nebel reported the \$100,000 that the city contributed, along with a similar amount from Lincoln County, was administered by Community LendingWorks. He stated the funding from the city and Lincoln County leveraged total grants in the amount of \$629,475. He noted of this, 47% of these funds are benefitting City of Newport businesses. Nebel thanked the organizations that partnered with the city to make the grants available.

Goebel confirmed when the last grants are awarded, Council will be informed of the businesses that benefited. He asked how much funds are left for the community. Tokos replied the last rounds have wrapped up. He reported there is one round left, round five that was recently authorized by the state. He stated there will be \$20 million state-wide, targeted at larger businesses, FTE up to 100, and administered by Business Oregon. He noted he does not know how it will be distributed around the state. He added the programs for small businesses have wrapped up.

<u>Approval of Budget Calendar</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported the preliminary budget meeting will be March 2, 2021, and the goal setting meeting will be February 8, 2021. He encouraged Council to include these dates in their calendars. Goebel asked how dots would be used for the goal setting meeting. Nebel replied the process will be different next year. Botello requested an Outlook invite for these dates.

<u>Update on COVID-19 Related to City Facilities and Programming</u>. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported the city will be closing operations for the Recreation Center, Aquatics Center and VAC effective Wednesday November 18, 2020 for the Governor's two-week freeze. He stated the city will place part time employees from these facilities on furlough through this closure. He noted the city will be reverting to virtual meetings effective November 18 through December 1. He indicated Municipal Court will be closed until December 2. He proposed to keep City Hall open to the public on the current reduced schedule of 8:00 A.M. until 2:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday during this two-week period. He explained City Hall has made a number of improvements to protect the public and our employees, which puts the city in a better position to remain open during this time period. He added the city is waiting for further guidance from the Governor's Office to make sure that this is a course of action that is permitted under this action.

Nebel reported as an alternative Council could close City Hall during this period of time. He stated the city will accommodate working from home where Department operations will allow during the freeze.

MOTION was made by Hall, seconded by Parker, to confirm Emergency Order 2020-31 Revising the City of Newport's Reopening Matrix as impacted by Governor Brown's implementation of a statewide freeze to control the spread of COVID-19. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

LOCAL CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

Notice of Intent to Accept a Purchase Agreement from Axon Enterprises, Inc., for the Purchase and Subscription of 20 Taser 7 Devices for the Police Department in the Amount of \$52,920. Sawyer opened the Local Contract Review Board at 7:37 P.M. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported the Police Department currently deploys tasers for all sworn police officers and community service officers. He noted the devices

are intended to control a violent or potentially violent individual, while minimizing the risk of serious injury to subject and officer. He stated Axon is also the distributor for the city's fleet cameras and body cameras. He explained the capability of these systems is important since the cameras are connected to any deployment of a taser by an officer. He added the existing devices used by the department have reached the end of their useful life, and are no longer being supported for repairs.

Nebel reported the tasers are part of the required dutygear for officers in accordance with city policy. He stated this acquisition is being completed through a lease purchase over a 60-month period, for a total amount of \$55,943.62, with the first year's expenses being \$5,880, including a credit of \$3,023.62 for trade in for the current devices., and a discount of \$2,856.38. He noted the purchase of this new equipment is through a cooperative purchasing arrangement that the Council considered earlier in this agenda with the League of Oregon Cities.

Hall asked why this request is not part of the budget process. Malloy replied currently, the department maintains several individual contracts for its different tasers. He noted when the department contacted the taser representative, they were informed the current tasers would all have to be replaced by this model. He noted it makes financial sense and increases efficiency to have the same device.

Jacobi asked how many times does the police department use tasers over a five- to ten-year period. Malloy replied the threat is used much more often than deployment. He stated they are typically deployed three to five times a year. Jacobi asked how close does the officer have to be to the person. Malloy replied there is a close contact option or an up to 21 feet option. Jacobi asked if the department has considered using BolaWrap. Malloy replied the department does not have them. He explained the technology is relatively new and still being tested. Jacobi encouraged looking further into the technology.

Botello asked what is the difference in voltage for these tasers. Malloy replied these will be the same; they are just a newer model. Botello asked if these come with warranties. Malloy replied there is a five-year warranty. Goebel asked why the taser would be deployed. Malloy replied they are deployed to effect an arrest with the least amount of injury to the officer or person. Malloy confirmed over the next five years these devices will still have service life.

Hall asked why this request is not part of the budget process. Malloy replied the department needs Council approval for a five-year lease. Goebel clarified the yearly cost of the tasers.

MOTION was made by Jacobi, seconded by Goebel, to authorize the City Manager to execute the sales terms and conditions for the purchase of 20 Taser 7s from Axon Enterprises, Inc., in the amount of \$52,920 payable over a 60-month period. The motion carried 6-1, with Parker opposed.

LOCAL CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

Authorization of an Agreement for Consulting Engineering Services with Water Systems Consulting for Risk and Resiliency Assessment and Emergency Response Plan in the Amount of \$79,295. Hawker introduced the agenda item. Nebel reported in October of 2018, the American's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) was signed into law. He stated under this program, communities serving more than 3,300 people are required to develop or update risk assessments in an emergency response plan for their water infrastructure. He noted the risk and resiliency assessment deadline is due to the EPA on June 30,2021, with the emergency response plan due on December 31, 2021. He explained this project was not budgeted with the intent that the work would be completed internally. He indicated with the current vacancy of the Public Works Director/City Engineer, the city will not have the time to complete this internal work. He added the city is experiencing savings that will partially offset this expense.

Nebel reported the city has worked with Water Systems Consulting for other projects. He stated they have expertise in water systems, site resiliency, and rehabilitation, as well as, experience in preparing these documents for other communities in Oregon.

Collett confirmed this could have been done in-house with more staff. Janigo explained the emergency at the Water Treatment Plant also contributed to the inability to conduct the program in-house. Collett confirmed this will not delay the hiring process in Public Works.

MOTION was made by Hall, seconded by Botello, to re-appropriate \$50,000 from the Public Works Contingency Fund to the Public Works Professional Services line item. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

MOTION was made by Hall, seconded by Goebel, to approve an agreement for consulting engineering services with Water Systems Consulting for risk and resiliency assessment and the development of an emergency response plan as required by the America's Water Infrastructure Act, in the amount of \$79,295. The motion carried unanimously in a voice vote.

Sawyer closed the Local Contract Review Board at 8:08 P.M.

REPORT FROM MAYOR AND COUNCIL

<u>Council Reports</u>. Hall encouraged participation in the Transportation System Plan Update open house on Saturday, and ODOT future planning meeting on Wednesday. Botello noted housing grants are offered to those in the county affected by fire. Nebel stated he has had discussions with Lincoln County Counsel Wayne Belmont on pursuing use of these funds. He noted the county is working on partnering with a non-profit. Botello suggested an exercise for the Council on disaster preparedness, especially fire. Nebel replied the plan is to do that at the first of the year. Botello requested an agenda item of discussion on a permanent Farmer's Market. Jacobi requested a work session on affordable housing developer requirements by the city. Sawyer recommended visiting Newport restaurants and shops during the freeze. Nebel reported the city will have a holiday tree, but there will not be a public ceremony.

ADJOURNMENT

Having no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:21 P.M.



Attachment "D" 1-SV-21

EXHIBIT 'A'

RIGHT OF WAY VACATION

A PORTION OF SW. 2ND STREET IN THE CITY OF NEWPORT

(TO TAX LOT 15900, ASSESSORS MAP 11-11-08-BA)

AN AREA OF LAND LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 11 WEST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, CITY OF NEWPORT, LINCOLN COUNTY, OREGON AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AS:

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 2, PARTITION PLAT NO. 2016-06, CITY OF NEWPORT, LINCOLN COUNTY, OREGON; THENCE SOUTH 0°08'47" WEST PERPENDICULAR TO THE RIGHT OF WAY OF SW. 2ND STREET, 30.00 FEET; THENCE PARALLEL TO THE RIGHT OF WAY, SOUTH 89°51'13" EAST 60.38 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY OF THE OREGON COAST HIGHWAY 101; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 914.89 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT FOR 39.29 FEET (WHICH CHORD BEARS NORTH 40°21'49" EAST 39.29 FEET) TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 2; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 NORTH 89°51'13" WEST 85.75 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

THE ABOVE DESCRIBED AREA CONTAINS ± 2198 SQUARE FEET

LINCOLN COUNTY SURVEY PARTITION PLAT NO. 2015-06 WAS USED AS A REFERENCE.



Udell Engineering & Land Surveying, LLC 63 East Ash Street, Lebanon, OR 97355 Ph: 541-451-5125 • Fax: 541-451-1366







City of Newport Community Development Department 169 SW Coast Highway Newport, OR 97365 Fax:1.541.574.0829 Fax:1.541.574.084

This map is for informational use only and have not been prepared for, nor is it usuales for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It includes data from mattiple sources. The City of Newport assumes no responsibility for its compatibility or use and users of this information are couldwords be verify all information with the City of Newport Community Development Department. Aerial and Utilities - 104 SW 2nd Street (water - blue, sewer - green, storm - orange)

image Taken July 2018 4-Inch, 4-band Digital Orthophotos Quantum Spatial, Inc. Corvallis, OR



1	1	/1	0/2020	
---	---	----	--------	--

VACATION

271.080 Vacation in incorporated cities; petition; consent of property owners. (1) Whenever any person interested in any real property in an incorporated city in this state desires to vacate all or part of any street, avenue, boulevard, alley, plat, public square or other public place, such person may file a petition therefor setting forth a description of the ground proposed to be vacated, the purpose for which the ground is proposed to be used and the reason for such vacation.

(2) There shall be appended to such petition, as a part thereof and as a basis for granting the same, the consent of the owners of all abutting property and of not less than two-thirds in area of the real property affected thereby. The real property affected thereby shall be deemed to be the land lying on either side of the street or portion thereof proposed to be vacated and extending laterally to the next street that serves as a parallel street, but in any case not to exceed 200 feet, and the land for a like lateral distance on either side of the street for 400 feet along its course beyond each terminus of the part proposed to be vacated. Where a street is proposed to be vacated to its termini, the land embraced in an extension of the street for a distance of 400 feet beyond each terminus shall also be counted. In the vacation of any plat or part thereof the consent of the owner or owners of two-thirds in area of the property embraced within such plat or part thereof proposed to be vacated shall be sufficient, except where such vacation embraces street area, when, as to such street area the above requirements shall also apply. The consent of the owners of the required amount of property shall be in writing. [Amended by 1999 c.866 §2]

271.090 Filing of petition; notice. The petition shall be presented to the city recorder or other recording officer of the city. If found by the recorder to be sufficient, the recorder shall file it and inform at least one of the petitioners when the petition will come before the city governing body. A failure to give such information shall not be in any respect a lack of jurisdiction for the governing body to proceed on the petition.

271.100 Action by city governing body. The city governing body may deny the petition after notice to the petitioners of such proposed action, but if there appears to be no reason why the petition should not be allowed in whole or in part, the governing body shall fix a time for a formal hearing upon the petition.

271.110 Notice of hearing. (1) The city recorder or other recording officer of the city shall give notice of the petition and hearing by publishing a notice in the city official newspaper once each week for two consecutive weeks prior to the hearing. If no newspaper is published in such city, written notice of the petition and hearing shall be posted in three of the most public places in the city. The notices shall describe the ground covered by the petition, give the date it was filed, the name of at least one of the petitioners and the date when the petition, and any objection or remonstrance, which may be made in writing and filed with the recording officer of the city prior to the time of hearing, will be heard and considered.

(2) Within five days after the first day of publication of the notice, the city recording officer shall cause to be posted at or near each end of the proposed vacation a copy of the notice, which shall be headed, "Notice of Street Vacation," "Notice of Plat Vacation" or "Notice of Plat and Street Vacation," as the case may be. The notice shall be posted in at least two conspicuous places in the proposed vacation area. The posting and first day of publication of such notice shall be at least 14 days before the hearing.

(3) The city recording officer shall, before publishing such notice, obtain from the petitioners a sum sufficient to cover the cost of publication, posting and other anticipated expenses. The city recording officer shall hold the sum so obtained until the actual cost has been ascertained, when the amount of the cost shall be paid into the city treasury and any surplus refunded to the depositor. [Amended by 1991 c.629 §1; 2005 c.22 §196]

271.120 Hearing; determination. At the time fixed by the governing body for hearing the petition and any objections filed thereto or at any postponement or continuance of such matter, the governing body shall hear the petition and objections and shall determine whether the consent of the owners of the requisite area has been obtained, whether notice has been duly given and whether the public interest will be prejudiced by the vacation of such plat or street or parts thereof. If such matters are determined in favor of the petition the governing body shall by ordinance make such determination a matter of record and vacate such plat or street; otherwise it shall

Chapter 271

deny the petition. The governing body may, upon hearing, grant the petition in part and deny it in part, and make such reservations, or either, as appear to be for the public interest.

271.130 Vacation on city governing body's own motion; appeal. (1) The city governing body may initiate vacation proceedings authorized by ORS 271.080 and make such vacation without a petition or consent of property owners. Notice shall be given as provided by ORS 271.110, but such vacation shall not be made before the date set for hearing, nor if the owners of a majority of the area affected, computed on the basis provided in ORS 271.080, object in writing thereto, nor shall any street area be vacated without the consent of the owners of the abutting property if the vacation will substantially affect the market value of such property, unless the city governing body provides for paying damages. Provision for paying such damages may be made by a local assessment, or in such other manner as the city charter may provide.

(2) Two or more streets, alleys, avenues and boulevards, or parts thereof, may be joined in one proceeding, provided they intersect or are adjacent and parallel to each other.

(3) No ordinance for the vacation of all or part of a plat shall be passed by the governing body until the city recording officer has filed in the office of the city recording officer or indorsed on the petition for such vacation a certificate showing that all city liens and all taxes have been paid on the lands covered by the plat or portion thereof to be vacated.

(4) Any property owner affected by the order of vacation or the order awarding damages or benefits in such vacation proceedings may appeal to the circuit court of the county where such city is situated in the manner provided by the city charter. If the charter does not provide for such appeal, the appeal shall be taken within the time and in substantially the manner provided for taking an appeal from justice court in civil cases. [Amended by 1995 c.658 §101]

271.140 Title to vacated areas. The title to the street or other public area vacated shall attach to the lands bordering on such area in equal portions; except that where the area has been originally dedicated by different persons and the fee title to such area has not been otherwise disposed of, original boundary lines shall be adhered to and the street area which lies on each side of such boundary line shall attach to the abutting property on such side. If a public square is vacated the title thereto shall vest in the city. [Amended by 1981 c.153 §58]

271.150 Vacation records to be filed; costs. A certified copy of the ordinance vacating any street or plat area and any map, plat or other record in regard thereto which may be required or provided for by law, shall be filed for record with the county clerk. The petitioner for such vacation shall bear the recording cost and the cost of preparing and filing the certified copy of the ordinance and map. A certified copy of any such ordinance shall be filed with the county assessor and county surveyor.

271.160 Vacations for purposes of rededication. No street shall be vacated upon the petition of any person when it is proposed to replat or rededicate all or part of any street in lieu of the original unless such petition is accompanied by a plat showing the proposed manner of replatting or rededicating. If the proposed manner of replatting or rededicating or any modification thereof which may subsequently be made meets with the approval of the city governing body, it shall require a suitable guarantee to be given for the carrying out of such replatting or rededication or may make any vacation conditional or to take effect only upon the consummation of such replatting or rededication.

271.170 Nature and operation of statutes. The provisions of ORS 271.080 to 271.160 are alternative to the provisions of the charter of any incorporated city and nothing contained in those statutes shall in anywise affect or impair the charter or other provisions of such cities for the preservation of public access to and from transportation terminals and navigable waters.

271.180 Vacations in municipalities included in port districts; petition; power of common council; vacating street along railroad easement. To the end that adequate facilities for terminal trackage, structures and the instrumentalities of commerce and transportation may be provided in cities and towns located within or forming a part of any port district organized as a municipal corporation in this state, the governing body of such cities and towns, upon the petition of any such port, or corporation empowered to own or operate a railroad, steamship or other transportation terminal, or railroad company entering or operating within such city or town, or owner of property abutting any such terminal, may:

(1) Authorize any port commission, dock commission, common carrier, railroad company or terminal company to occupy, by any structure, trackage or machinery facilitating or necessary to travel, transportation or distribution, any street or public property, or parts thereof, within such city or town, upon such reasonable terms and conditions as the city or town may impose.

(2) Vacate the whole or any part of any street, alley, common or public place, with such restrictions and upon such conditions as the city governing body may deem reasonable and for the public good.

(3) If any railroad company owns or has an exclusive easement upon a definite strip within or along any public street, alley, common or public place, and if the city governing body determines such action to be to the advantage of the public, vacate the street area between the strip so occupied by the railroad company and one property line opposite thereto, condition that the railroad company dedicates for street purposes such portion of such exclusive strip occupied by it as the city governing body may determine upon, and moves its tracks and facilities therefrom onto the street area so vacated. The right and title of the railroad company in the vacated area shall be of the same character as previously owned by it in the exclusive strip which it is required by the city governing body to surrender and dedicate to street purposes.

271.190 Consent of owners of adjoining property; other required approval. No vacation of all or part of a street, alley, common or public place shall take place under ORS 271.180 unless the consent of the persons owning the property immediately adjoining that part of the street or alley to be vacated is obtained thereto in writing and filed with the auditor or clerk of the city or town. No vacation shall be made of any street, alley, public place or part thereof, if within 5,000 feet of the harbor or pierhead line of the port, unless the port commission, or other bodies having jurisdiction over docks and wharves in the port district involved, approves the proposed vacation in writing.

271.200 Petition; notice. (1) Before any street, alley, common or public place or any part thereof is vacated, or other right granted by any city governing body under ORS 271.180 to 271.210 the applicant must petition the governing body of the city or town involved, setting forth the particular circumstances of the case, giving a definite description of the property sought to be vacated, or of the right, use or occupancy sought to be obtained, and the names of the persons to be particularly affected thereby. The petition shall be filed with the auditor or clerk of the city or town involved 30 days previous to the taking of any action thereon by the city governing body.

(2) Notice of the pendency of the petition, containing a description of the area sought to be vacated or right, use or occupancy sought to be obtained, shall be published at least once each week for three successive weeks prior to expiration of such 30-day period in a newspaper of general circulation in the county wherein the city or town is located.

271.210 Hearing; grant of petition. Hearing upon the petition shall be had by the city governing body at its next regular meeting following the expiration of 30 days from the filing of the petition. At that time objections to the granting of the whole or any part of the petition shall be duly heard and considered by the governing body, which shall thereupon, or at any later time to which the hearing is postponed or adjourned, pass by a majority vote an ordinance setting forth the property to be vacated, or other rights, occupancy or use to be thereby granted. Upon the expiration of 30 days from the passage of the ordinance and the approval thereof by the mayor of the city or town, the ordinance shall be in full force and effect.

271.220 Filing of objections; waiver. All objections to the petition shall be filed with the clerk or auditor of the city or town within 30 days from the filing of the petition, and if not so filed shall be conclusively presumed to have been waived. The regularity, validity and correctness of the proceedings of the city governing body pursuant to ORS 271.180 to 271.210, shall be conclusive in all things on all parties, and cannot in any manner be contested in any proceeding whatsoever by any person not filing written objections within the time provided in this section.

271.230 Records of vacations; fees. (1) If any town or plat of any city or town is vacated by a county court or municipal authority of any city or town, the vacation order or ordinance shall be recorded in the deed records

Chapter 271

of the county. Whenever a vacation order or ordinance is so recorded, the county surveyor of such county shall, upon a copy of the plat that is certified by the county clerk, trace or shade with permanent ink in such manner as to denote that portion so vacated, and shall make the notation "Vacated" upon such copy of the plat, giving the book and page of the deed record in which the order or ordinance is recorded. Corrections or changes shall not be allowed on the original plat once it is recorded with the county clerk.

(2) For recording in the county deed records, the county clerk shall collect the same fee as for recording a deed. For the services of the county surveyor for marking the record upon the copy of the plat, the county clerk shall collect a fee as set by ordinance of the county governing body to be paid by the county clerk to the county surveyor. [Amended by 1971 c.621 §31; 1975 c.607 §31; 1977 c.488 §2; 1979 c.833 §30; 1999 c.710 §12; 2001 c.173 §5]

Attachment "H" CITY OF NEWPORT 1-SV-21 NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING ON A PARTIAL STREET VACATION¹

This meeting will be a hybrid meeting conducted by video-conference and a limited number of people (up to 15) are allowed to attend in person. Please contact the Community Development Department at the phone number or email listed below for options on how you can participate by video-conference or in person in the hearing.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of the City of Newport, Oregon, will hold a public hearing on Monday, February 22, 2021, to review and make a recommendation to the Newport City Council on a partial street vacation as requested in:

File No.: 1-SV-21

Applicant: Initiated by the City Council, at the request of Bret Fox on behalf of 2nd Street Newport, LLC.

Request/Subject Property: The request is to vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Courthouse Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commercial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers. (See the attached illustration of the proposed area to be vacated).

Date Request Received: The street vacation was initiated by the City Council at the applicant's request on November 16, 2020.

Applicable Criteria: Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 requires that: (1) The consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) Notice has been duly given; and (3) The public interest will not be prejudiced by the vacation of such plat or street or parts thereof. ORS 271.130 provides that a governing body may initiate vacation proceedings, and make such vacation without a petition or consent of property owners, as long as the owners of a majority of the area affected do not object in writing and the vacation will not substantially affect the market value of abutting properties, unless the city governing body provides for paying damages.

Testimony: Testimony and evidence must be directed toward the request above or other criteria, including criteria within the Comprehensive Plan and its implementing ordinances, which the person believes to apply to the decision. Failure to raise an issue with sufficient specificity to afford the city and the parties an opportunity to respond to that issue precludes an appeal (including to the Land Use Board of Appeals) based on that issue. Testimony may be submitted in written or oral form. Oral testimony and written testimony will be taken during the course of the public hearing. The hearing may include a report by staff, testimony from the applicant and proponents, testimony from opponents, rebuttal by applicant, and questions and deliberation by the Planning Commission. Written testimony sent to the Community Development (Planning) Department (address under "Reports/Materials") must be received by 12:00 p.m. (Noon) the day of the hearing to be included as part of the hearing or must be personally presented during testimony at the public hearing. Pursuant to ORS 197.763 (6), any person prior to the conclusion of the initial public hearing may request a continuance of the public hearing or that the record be left open for at least seven days to present additional evidence, arguments, or testimony regarding the application.

Reports/Materials: The staff report may be reviewed or a copy purchased at the Newport Community Development (Planning) Department, City Hall, 169 S.W. Coast Hwy, Newport, Oregon, 97365, seven days prior to the hearing. The file materials and the applicable criteria are currently available for inspection at no cost or copies may be purchased for reasonable cost at this address.

Contact: Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director (541) 574-0626 (address above in "Reports/Materials").

<u>Time/Place of Hearing</u>: Monday, February 22, 2021; 7:00 p.m.; City Hall Council Chambers (address above in "Reports/Materials").

MAILED: January 29, 2021. **PUBLISHED:** Friday, February 5, 2021; Friday, February 12, 2021; and Wednesday, February 17, 2021/<u>Newport</u> <u>News-Times</u>.

¹ Notice of the public hearing is being sent to affected property owners (according to Lincoln County Assessor's records) within the notification distance required for the request, affected public/private utilities/agencies, and affected city departments.





Notification Area 1-SV-21

2ND STREET NEWPORT LLC ATTN: BRET FOX PO BOX 970 **MEDFORD; OR 97501**

GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF THE COLUMBIA WILLAMETTE 1943 SE 6TH AVE PORTLAND; OR 97214

LINCOLN COUNTY ATTN LINCOLN CO PROP MGMT 880 NE 7TH ST **NEWPORT; OR 97365**

PACIFIC ONE BANK ATTN BANK OF THE WEST **PO BOX 5155** SAN RAMON; CA 94583

SLAPE INVESTMENT PROP LLC 61762 BROKEN TOP DR **BEND; OR 97702**

> WAYMAN JACOB 224 SW COAST HWY **NEWPORT; OR 97365**

MICHAEL C. ROBINSON SCHWABE WILLIAMSON & WYATT PACWEST CENTER 1211 SW 5TH, SUITE 1900

CITY OF NEWPORT ATTN FINANCE 169 SW COAST HWY NEWPORT; OR 97365

JOHNSON RUSSELL TRUSTEE & FORINASH RHONDA M TRUSTEE 629 NE 8TH ST **NEWPORT; OR 97365**

NFE GREENWOOD TER LLC; ETAL ATTN WALGREEN CO **REAL ESTATE PROPERTY TAX** PO BOX 1159 DEERFIELD; IL 60015

> SCHRANTZ JEFFREY M 313 SW 2ND ST NEWPORT; OR 97365

TRUAX CORPORATION PO BOX 3002 CORVALLIS; OR 97339

WESTERN POSTAL REALTY HOLDINGS LLC **75 COLUMBIA AVE CEADERHURST; NY 11516**

JOHNSON RUSSELL TRUSTEE & FORINASH RHONDA M TRUSTEE % LINCOLN COUNTY LEASE 225 W OLIVE ST RM 110 NEWPORT; OR 97365

BARTON WILLIAM A

PO BOX 870

NEWPORT; OR 97365

PO BOX 970 MEDFORD; OR 97501

NEWPORT COAST INVESTMENTS LLC

REYES FLAVIANO D & REYES YOLANDA V 2704 SE 84TH AVE

PORTLAND; OR 97266

TEAGUE GABRIELLE C 13978 SE 131ST AVE CLACKAMAS; OR 97015

PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXHIBIT "A" MAILING LABELS **ADJACENT PROPERTIES** File No. 1-SV-21
Lincoln County Assessor Lincoln County Courthouse 225 W Olive St Newport OR 97365

<u>**EMAIL**</u> odotr2planmgr@odot.state.or.us

> NW Natural ATTN: Dave Sanders 1405 SW Hwy 101 Lincoln City, OR 97367

Lincoln County School District ATTN: Superintendent PO Box 1110 Newport OR 97365

> PORT OF NEWPORT 600 SE BAY BLVD NEWPORT OR 97365

Email: Lisa Phillips DLCD Coastal Services Center lisa.phillips@state.or.us

> Central Lincoln PUD ATTN: Randy Grove PO Box 1126 Newport OR 97365

Charter Communications ATTN: Keith Kaminski 355 NE 1st St Newport OR 97365

Lincoln County Surveyor 880 NE 7th St Newport OR 97365 CenturyLink ATTN: Corky Fallin 740 State Street Salem OR 97301

Lincoln County Clerk Lincoln County Courthouse 225 W Olive St Newport OR 97365

Lincoln County Commissioners Lincoln County Courthouse 225 W Olive St Newport OR 97365

OREGON DEPT OF PARKS & REC 725 Summer St. N.E. Suite C Salem, OR 97301

Chris Janigo Public Works Laura Kimberly Library Director

Jason Malloy

Police Chief

Clare Paul Public Works

Mike Murzynsky Finance Director

Beth Young Associate Planner Fire Chief

Rob Murphy

Joseph Lease Building Official Spencer Nebel City Manager

EXHIBIT 'A' Affected Agencies Michael Cavanaugh

Parks & Rec.

37



TY OF LINCOLN; Pro-bate Department. No. 20PB09109; In the Matter of the Estate of Doris Maxdh DR D5) of the Estate of Dons Max-ine Aleckson, Deceased. Notice is hereby given pursuant to ORS 113.155 that the undersigned has been appointed and has qualified as the co-personal representatives of the estate. All persons begins adjunct the NS RT E-IN-ro-vo. tter on the estate. All persons having claims against the estate are hereby required to present the same, with proper vouchers, within four months after the date of first publication of their nes ed. /en าลร าลร of first publication of this notice, as stated below, to notice, as stated below, to the personal representa-tive at: Steven Aleckson and Tony Aleckson c/o Jeffrey C. Pridgeon Attor-ney at Law 515 W. Olive Street Newport, OR 97365 (541)265-2217 or they may be barred. All per-sons whose rights may be affected by the proceed-ings in this estate may obtain additional informa-tion from the records of inal the av-the red vith thin ate this i, to ntaano eon W. OR obtain additional informa-tion from the records of the court, the personal representative or the attorney for the personal representative. Date first published: February 5, 2021. Jeffrey C. Pridgeon, Attorney for Co-Personal Representatives. F5 F12 F19 (32-19) or All shts the tate onal the the e or per-Date

uary Prid-

Per-F5

URT

DRE-

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING SALISHAN SANITARY DISTRICT on ORDI-NANCE 2021-001 Prohibi-tion of Certain Discharges to Sanitary System; PUB-LIC NOTICE is given to all persons in the Salis-han Sanitary District serv-ing a portion of Gleneden Beach that a public hear-ing will be held on Febru-ary 9, 2021 at 10 am via

conference meeting call due to COVID restrictions, to solicit comments on proposed ordinance pro-hibiting certain discharges to sanitary system. The proposed ordinance is on file at the Salishan Sanitary office and on our website. The proposed Sanitary office and on our website. The proposed regulations are intended to protect the sewage processing facilities and resulting water quality dis-charges into Siletz Bay. It furthers defines the required actions that may be required to address any violations. All persons interested are invited to attend this teleconference hearing and be heard. Written comments may be submitted to: Salishan. sanitary@gmail.com F5 (31-05)

(31-05) NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING ON A PARTIAL STREET VACATION; CITY OF NEWPORT This meeting will be a hybrid meeting conducted by video-conference and a limited number of people (up to 15) are allowed to attend in person. Please contact the Community Development Depart-ment at the phone num-ber or email listed below for options on how you conference or in person in the hearing. The Newport Planning Commission will hold a public hearing at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, February 22, 2021, in the City Hall Council Cham-bers, to review and make a recommendation to the Newport City Council on

tion (File No. 1-SV-21) as initiated by the City Council at the request of Bret Fox on behalf of 2nd Street Newport, LLC. The request, which was initiated on November 16, 2020, is to vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Court-house Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commer-cial building and construct to place the new commer-cial building and construct cial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers. Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 requires that: (1) The consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) Notice has been duly given; and (3) The pub-lic interest will not be prejudiced by the vaca-tion of such plat or street or parts thereof. ORS 271.130 provides that a governing body may initi-ate vacation proceedings, and make such vacation without a petition or conand make such vacation without a petition or con-sent of property owners, as long as the owners of a majority of the area affected do not object in writing and the vacation will not substantially affect the market value of abut-ting properties, unless the

city governing body pro-vides for paying damages. Testimony and evidence must be directed toward the request above or other riteria, including criteria within the Comprehensive Plan and its implement-ing ordinances, which the person believes to apply to the decision. Failure person believes to apply to the decision. Failure to raise an issue with sufto raise an issue with suf-ficient specificity to afford the city and the parties an opportunity to respond to that issue precludes an appeal (including to the Land Use Board of Appeals) based on that issue. Testimony may be submitted in written or oral form. Oral testimony and written testimony will be taken during the course of the public hearing. The hearing may include a report by staff, testimony from the applicant and proponents, testimony from the applicant and proponents, rebuttal by applicant, and ques-tions and deliberation by the Planning Commission. Written testimony sent to the Community Develop-ment (Planning) Depart-ment, City Hall, 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365, must be received by 12:00 p.m. (Noon) the day of the hearing to be included, as part of the hearing. Pursuant to ORS 197.763 (6), any person prior to the conclusion of the initial public hearing may request a continu-ance of the public hearing or that the record be left open for at least seven days to present additional evidence, arguments or evidence, arguments, or testimony regarding the

application. report may The staff be reviewed or a copy purchased at the Newport Community Development Department (Planning) Department (address above) seven days prior to the hearing. The file materials and the applicable criteria are currently available for inspection at no cost or copies may be purchased for reasonable cost at this address. Contact Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director (541) 574-0626 (address above). F5 F12 F17 (30-17)

BOARD MEETING The Depoe Bay Fire Dis-trict Board of Directors will hold their month-ly Board Meeting at 4pm on Tuesday, February 9, 2021, at the Gleneden Beach Fire Station, 6445 Gleneden Beach Loop, Gleneden Beach, OR 97388. PLEASE NOTE: To promote social distanc-ing guidelines currently in place at federal and state levels, the Board asks that **BOARD MEETING** evels, the Board asks that individuals who wish to attend the meeting con-tact the District Administact the District Adminis-trative Assistant at least forty-eight hours prior to the noticed meeting time by phone at 541-764-2202 or by email at jwool-sey@depoebayfire.com. F5 (29-05)

PUBLIC AUCTION On February 17th, 2021 at 11:00 AM, a public sale will be held at Ideal Stor-age . 134 NE Metcalf Ave Siletz, OR 97380: Dennis Geer-S060. On February 17th , 2021 at 2:00 PM a public sale will be held at Ideal Storage. 5441

W. Hwy 20, Toledo, OR 97391:Paige Ewen – T028. On February 18th, 2021 at 2:00 PM, a public sale will be held at Ideal Storage 235 SW Dahl Ave, Waldport, OR 97394: Aaron Estelle – WE92, Jason Weeks – WB009. Minimum bid \$50.00 Cash only. F5 F12 (28-12)

SELF-STORAGE PUBLIC SALE Safe-Lock Storage 3639 SE Ash, South Beach, Oregon 9766. Saturday 2/13/21 @ 10:00am. G15: Cheryl Tohkubbi; L16: Michael Mauk; E01: Jenni-fer Morgan; R28: Terence Hair; D21: Kevin Kass; F16: Annette Helsley; K14: Ethan Lorimor; F03: Sherri Shepard; J01: John Weav-er; P08: Brian Wilks; L03: Christopher Wills; O04: Juan Garcia; K04: Lucy Keith; H15: Patricia Crisp; L18: Tracey Thompson; D29: Lucy Keith; B09F: Kewin Walsh; F49: Nick Kamerer. Sale Subject To Cancellation Safe-Lock Storage reserves the Right to refuse any and all Storage reserves the Right to refuse any and all bids. J29 F5 (22-05)

LEGAL DEADLINES:	
Wednesday Edition: 5:00pm Thursday PRIOR	COMPANY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO
Friday Edition: 5:00pm Tuesday PRIOR	and the second

Get the scoop.

We're dishing out what's happening from north to south Lincoln County.

NEWS ***** TIMES

non-refundable.

Upon

III, both of Siletz, and Delores Pigsley of Keizer, whose terms expire in Butler, of Siletz, Robert that time.

----and Alfred (Bud) Lane at 11 a.m. at the tribal administration building in Siletz. Tribal council officers, elected on an annual 2022; and with Loraine basis, were also chosen at

O SUBSCRIBE

ES

al proj-admin-

CE

2/12/21

may be barred. Claims are to be presented at the address of the attorney for the personal repre-sentative, set forth below. operty the life al plan enewal ry out crease sentative, set form below. All persons whose rights may be affected by this estate proceeding may obtain additional infor-mation from the records of the Circuit Court, the ne long on the dis-Fiscal Budget pendies for show enewal

of the Circuit Court, the personal representative, or J.F. Ouderkirk, attor-ney for the personal rep-resentative. Date of first publication: February 12th, 2021. J.F. Ouderkirk, OSB #752903 Attorney for Personal Representative Ouderkirk & Hollen P. O. Box 1167 615 SW Hurbert Street Suite A Newport livision fiscal nclude City of 00 for Street, Suite A Newport, OR 97365 541-574-1630 / 541-574-1638 fax. F12 F19 F26 (42-26) wasteplant r debt h Tank,

NOTICE OF ELECTION OF DISTRICT BOARD MEMBERS Notice Is hereby given that on May 18, 2021, an election will be held for the purpose of filling the following board positions for the following districts. Each candidate for an office listed must file a Declaration of Candidacy or Petition for Nomination for Office with the Eleccount-BOO is lit serotices. carried aucaire aucaire aucaire achats Agency NCIAL 2 F19 for Office with the Elec-tions Department of Linn County not later than the 61st day before the date of the election. THE FILING DEADLINE IS 5:00 PM ON MARCH 18, 2021 Linn-Benton-Lincoln Education

nmuni-Board hold a Tues-, 2021 Benton-Lincoln Education Service District: Director Zone 1, 4-year term (Linn & Marion County) Direc-tor Zone 2, 4-year term (Benton, Lane, Linn, Polk & Lincoln County) Director Zone 3, 4-year term (Linn & Benton County) Direc-tor Zone 4, 4-year term (Linn & Benton County). F12 (41-12) maritan is Hos-30 SW Dregon. in join-neeting icrosoft pplicaon per-phone. 3 Meet-CITY SURPLUS City of Depoe Bay; Per Resolution No. 503-21. • (2) Jade Brand Kitchen email rg or r4. To ity, call blowed): 855neeting reports s. Lisa ACIFIC

ISONS COURT F ORE-

ired to hin four he date ation of

r claims

(2) Jade Brand Kitchen Ranges Gas Top-Convec-tion Electric Bottom Over Stainless Steel Starting Bid \$50.00 for one or 75.00 for both.
Wisconsin 3" Discharge Pump Gas Motor on Mili-tary Trailer Starting Bid \$75.00.
Delta Drill Press Seri-• Delta Drill Press Seri-al #8910 Starting Bid \$10.00. IEALTH ditional ct 541-pchdis-2)

a) #0510 Starting Bid
b) Honda Push Mower
Model HRS2162PDA
Starting Bid \$20.00.
Hytrol 12'x 8' Electric
Conveyor System Starting
Bid \$50.00.
Target Mini Con II
Asphalf/Concrete Saw
with Robin Motor Starting
Bid \$50.00.
Hitachi 15" Miter Saw
Serial #166060 Starting
Bid \$25.00.
Delta Horizontal Band

F ORE-OUNTY IOBATE STATE KIRK-CASE Notice to ORS DANIEL Bid \$25.00. • Delta Horizontal Band Saw Serial #011414 Start-ing Bid \$25.00. • 2006 Ford F-150 Pick-up 2 WD VIN #1FTRF-12256NA19262 Starting Bid \$100.00 s been al repabove ns hav-nst the

2001 Chevy Silvera-do Pickup 2 WD VIN #1GCEC14W31Z115769 Starting Bid \$500.00
 Quincy 55 Gallon Hori-

zontal Air Compressor Serial #6012206 Starting Bid \$20.00

Serial #6012206 Starting Bid \$20.00 • 1972 Coronado 27 Ft. Sail Boat/No Trail-er with 9.5 Mercury Long Shaft Kicker Hull #WNZ43133L272 Starting Bid \$1,900.00 All items are to be sold in "as-is, where-is" condition and awarded to the highest bidder. Bids must be sealed, identify the item for bid, and submitted no later than 1:30 p.m., Tues-day, February 23, 2021. Bids will be opened at 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, February 23, 2021, at Depoe Bay City Hall, 570 SE Shell Avenue. Sub-mit bids clearly marked "Depoe Bay City Hall, 570 SE Shell Avenue. Sub-mit bids clearly marked "Depoe Bay City Hall, 570 SE Shell Avenue (drop box by front door), or PO Box by front door), or PO Box by front door, or PO Box by front door, or all bids. Payment must be cash or cashier's check. For infor-mation contact, (541) 765-3005. F12 F17 (40-17) INVITATION TO BID

INVITATION TO BID The Pump Station and Force Main Improvements Project in Lincoln County, Oregon consists of con-structing two replacement sewage pump stations, two generator buildings, a force main and terminal methode two methods two ğenerator buildings, a force main and terminal manhole, two renovations of sewage pump stations, and related site improve-ments. Secured Online Bids for the Project will be received by the Gleneden Sanitary District through the bidding services of the Issuing Office, until 2:00 PM. local time, on March 16, 2021. Bids will not be received after the stated time. A remote bid open-ing will be held by the Dis-trict Engineer at the day and time of the bid clos-ing via Microsoft Teams. Access information is pro-vided in Bidding Docu-ments. The Issuing Office is Precision Images Vir-tual Plan Center, 503-274-2030 at 900 SE Sandy Boulevard, Portland, OR 97214. Bidding Docu-ments may be examined at no cost and ordered online from the Issuing Office at http://www.pre-cisionimaces.com. Redisat no cost and ordered online from the Issuing Office at http://www.pre-cisionimages.com. Regis-tration through the Issuing Office and purchase of the Contract Documents in digital form is required to become a Planholder for bidding purposes. An electronic download of a complete set of Bidding Documents is available for a payment of \$35. Com-plete printed sets of Bid-ding Documents may be obtained from the Issuing Office for a payment of \$125 plus shipping per full size (22" x 34") set of drawings or \$60 plus shipping per half-size set of drawings. Expect one-or two days for document preparation. The price is intended to cover the cost of labor and materi-als of production and is

non-refundable. Upon Issuing Office's receipt of payment, printed Bidding Documents will be sent via the prospective Bidder's delivery method of choice. The date that the Bidding delivery method of choice. The date that the Bidding Documents are transmit-ted by the Issuing Office will be considered the Bidder's date of receipt of the Bidding Documents. Partial sets of the Bid-ding Documents will not be available. Direct pre-bid inquiries to Ken Con-dit, District Engineer at kenc@hhpr.com. Pre-bid submittals shall be sent to Ken Condit, at kenc@ hhpr.com or HHPR Inc., 205 SE Spokane St. Suite 200, Portland, OR 97202. A mandatory pre-bid con-ference will be held on February 23, 2021, from 10:00 a.m. to noon local time starting at the Dis-trict storage garage, 105 Wells Street, Gleneden Beach, OR 97388. Atten-dance at the pre-bid con-ference is mandatory for bidders only. No other dance at the pre-bid con-ference is mandatory for bidders only. No other separate site tours will be offered. No bid will be considered unless the required forms are fully completed and submit-ted in the manner pre-scribed and are accom-panied by a bid security, executed in favor of the Owner, in an amount not less than 5 percent of the total amount of the bid. less than 5 percent of the total amount of the bid. This contract is for pub-lic work being financed by a loan from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund administered by the Department of Envi-ronmental Quality and is subject to the compli-ance, reporting, and doc-umentation requirements thereof. Licensing under ORS 468A.710 is not required. The contracting ORS 468A.710 is not required. The contracting agency may cancel the procurement or reject any or all bids according to ORS 279B.100. No bid-der may withdraw his bid after the hour set for the opening and before award of contract unless award of contract, unless award is delayed beyond sixty (60) calendar days from the bid opening date. F10 F12 (38-12)

F12 (38-12) NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF ORE-GON FOR THE COUN-TY OF LINCOLN Pro-bate Department; No. 20PB09094. In the Matter of the Estate of James M. Corothers, Deceased. Notice is hereby given pursuant to ORS 113.155 that the undersigned has been appointed and has qualified as the personal representative of the estate. All persons hav-ing claims against the estate are hereby required to present the same, within proper vouchers, within four months after the ate of first publication of this notice, as stated below, to the personal representathe personal representa-tive at: Marie Deserano c/o Jeffrey C. Pridgeon Attorney At Law 515 W.

Olive Street Newport, OR 97365 (541)265-2217 or they may be barred. All persons whose rights may be affected by the proceedings in this estate may obtain additional information from the records of the court, the personal representative or the attorney for the per-sonal representative. Date first published: February 5th, 2021. Jeffrey C. Prid-geon, Attorney for Per-sonal Representative. F5 F12 F19 (33-19)

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF ORE-GON FOR THE COUN-TY OF LINCOLN; Pro-bate Department. No. 20PB09109; In the Matter of the Estate of Doris Max-ipe Aleckson Deceased of the Estate of Doris Max-ine Aleckson, Deceased. Notice is hereby given pursuant to ORS 113.155 that the undersigned has been appointed and has qualified as the co-personal representatives of the estate. All persons having claims against the estate are hereby required to present the same, with proper vouchers, within four months after the date of first publication of this notice, as stated below, to the personal representanotice, as stated below, to the personal representa-tive at: Steven Aleckson c/o Jeffrey C. Pridgeon Attor-ney at Law 515 W. Olive Street Newport, OR 97365 (541)265-2217 or they may be barred. All per-one whose dothe may be sons whose rights may be affected by the proceed-ings in this estate may obtain additional information from the records of the court, the personal representative or the representative or the attorney for the personal representative. Date first published: February 5, 2021. Jeffrey C. Pridgeon, Attorney for Co-Personal Representatives. F5 F12 F19 (32-19)

NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING ON A PARTIAL STREET

PARTIAL STREET VACATION; CITY OF NEWPORT This meeting will be a hybrid meeting conducted by video-conference and a limited number of people (up to 15) are allowed to attend in person. Please contact the Community Development Depart-ment at the phone num-ber or email listed below for options on how you for options on how you can participate by videocan participate by video-conference or in person in the hearing. The Newport Planning Commission will hold a public hearing at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, February 22, 2021, in the City Hall Council Cham-hear to review and make City Hail Council Cham-bers, to review and make a recommendation to the Newport City Council on a proposed street vaca-tion (File No. 1-SV-21) as initiated by the City Council at the request of Bret Fox on behalf of 2nd Street Newport, LLC. The request, which was

initiated on November 16, 2020, is to vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street 3,5004/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Court-house Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commer-cial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers. Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 requires that: (1) The consent of the owners of the requisite the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) Notice has been duly given; and (3) The pub-lic interest will not be prejudiced by the vaca-tion of such plat or street or parts thereof. ORS 271.130 provides that a governing body may initi-ate vacation proceedings, and make such vacation without a petition or conand make such vacation without a petition or con-sent of property owners, as long as the owners of a majority of the area affected do not object in writing and the vacation will not substantially affect the market value of abut-ting properties unless the the market value of abut-ting properties, unless the city governing body pro-vides for paying damages. Testimony and evidence must be directed toward the request above or other criteria, including criteria within the Comprehensive Plan and its implement-ing ordinances, which the person believes to apply to the decision. Failure to raise an Issue with suf-ficient specificity to afford to raise an issue with suf-ficient specificity to afford the city and the parties an opportunity to respond to that issue precludes an appeal (including to the Land Use Board of Appeals) based on that issue. Testimony may be submitted in written or oral form. Oral testimony and written testimony will be taken during the course of the public hearing. The hearing may include a report by staff, testimony from the applicant, and proponents, rebuttal by applicant, and ques-tions and deliberation by the Planning Commission. by applicant, and ques-tions and deliberation by the Planning Commission. Written testimony sent to the Community Develop-ment (Planning) Depart-ment, City Hall, 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365, must be received by 12:00 p.m. (Noon) the day of the hearing to be included, as part of the hearing or must be per-sonally presented during testimony at the public hearing. Pursuant to ORS 197.763 (6), any person prior to the conclusion of the initial public hearing may request a continu-

ance of the public hearing or that the record be left open for at least seven days to present additional evidence, arguments, or testimony regarding the application. The staff report may be reviewed or a copy nurchased et report may be reviewed or a copy purchased at the Newport Community Development (Planning) Department (address above) seven days prior to the hearing. The file materials and the appli-cable criteria are currently weileble for inspection at cable criteria are currently available for inspection at no cost or coples may be purchased for reason-able cost at this address. Contact Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director (541) 574-0626 (address above). F5 F12 F17 (30-17)

PUBLIC AUCTION On February 17th, 2021 at 11:00 AM, a public sale will be held at ideal Stor-age . 134 NE Metcalf Ave Siletz, OR 97380: Dennis Geer-S060. On February 17th , 2021 at 2:00 PM a public sale will be held at ideal Storage. 5441 W. Hwy 20, Toledo, OR 97391:Paige Ewen – T028. On February 18th, 2021 at 2:00 PM, a public sale will be held at ideal Storage 235 SW Dahl Ave. Waldport, OR 97394: Aaron Estelle – WE92, Jason Weeks – WB009. Minimum bid \$50.00 Cash only. F5 F12 (28-12)

PUBLIC LIEN SALE Store Self Storage 105 73rd. Street Newport NF NE 73rd. Street Newport OR 97365 Auctions will be held for 10 days online at storageauctions.com Auctions start on Tues-day, February 9th, 2021 at 10:00 AM, and end Fri-day Eebruary 9th, 2021 at 10:00 AM. 'and end Fri-day, February 19th, 2021 at 10:00 AM. Unclaimed storage units to be sold by competitive bidding. Scott Addy #347, Allen Barnett #CY05, Vickle Cabaniss #219, Jodi Gonzales #522. Goods of every description sold by the storage lot. CASH or CREDIT CARD ONLY. F3 F12 (23-12)

COMMUNITY SERVICES CONSORTIUM GOVERNING

BOARD EXECUTIVE

BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING Consortium's Governing Board Executive Com-mittee meeting will be held Thursday, February 25, 2021 at 10:00 am via Zoom. The agenda will address adoption of CSC's Supplemental Budget 2 of Fiscal Year 2020-2021. The meet-ing is open to the public; all interested persons are welcome to join. Connec-tion information is avail-able by emailing eday@ communityservices.us. F12 (21-12)

r ut Lincoln County news. WS ***** TIMES

S

Per 21. nen ec-iver ing

rge Aili-Bid

eri-Bid

ver DA

tric ing

Ш iaw ing

law ing

hauled to Pacific Region grease and food scraps. Compost near our landfill south of Monmouth,"

2/17/2021

other food containers num, animal waste, dia-"If it grows it goes. in particular can't nor- pers, toilet paper or any That's a good catchphrase mally be recycled with hazardous material.

-

ì

280

ALL

Bids will be opened at 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, February 23, 2021, at Depoe Bay City Hall, 570 SE Shell Avenue. Sub-mit bids clearly marked "Depoe Bay Surplus Bid" to City of Depoe Bay, Attn: Brady Weidner, Public Works Director, 570 SE Shell Avenue (drop box by front door), or PO Box 8, Depoe Bay, OR 97341. City reserves the right to reject any or all bids. Payment must be cash or cashier's check. For infor-mation contact, (541) 765-3005. F12 F17 (40-17)

3005. F12 F17 (40-17) NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF ORE-GON FOR THE COUNTY OF LINCOLN PROBATE DEPARTMENT ESTATE OF DAVID WARREN JOHNSON, DECEASED CASE No. 21PB00327 Notice is given pursu-ant to ORS 113.155 that Sharon L. Cox has been appointed personal rep-resentative of the above estate. All persons hav-ing claims against the estate are required to present them within four (4) months after the date of the first publication of this Notice, or their claims may be barred. Claims are to be presented at the address of the attorney for the personal repre-sentative, set forth below. All persons whose rights may be affected by this estate proceeding may obtain additional informa-tion from the records of All persons whose rights may be affected by this estate proceeding may obtain additional informa-tion from the records of the Circuit Court, the per-sonal representative, or Jeffrey C. Hollen, attorney for the personal represen-tative. Date of first pub-lication: February 10th, 2021. Jeffrey C. Hollen, OSB #761757 Attorney for Personal Representa-tive 541-574-1630 P.O. Box 1167 615 SW Hurbert Street, Suite A Newport, OR 97365. Sharon L. Cox Personal Representative 1235 NE Newport Heights Dr. Newport, OR 97365. F10 F17 F24 (37-24) NOTICE TO

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF ORE-GON FOR THE COUNTY OF LINCOLN PROBATE DEPARTMENT ESTATE OF PATRICIA ANN RIDER, DECEASED CASE No. 21PB00868 Notice is given pursuant to ORS 113.155 that Douglas E. Rider has been appointed personal representative of the above estate. All persons having claims against the estate are required to present them within four (4) months after the date of the first publication of this Notice, or their claims may be barred. Claims are to be presoned at the address of the attorney for the personal representative, set forth below. All per-sons whose rights may be affected by this estate proceeding may obtain and artck-RF-ing ira-VIN 769 orisor ing 27 ail-ury Tull ing ins i-is, and est be em no

additional information from the records of the Circuit Court, the personal Circuit Court, the personal representative, or Jeffrey C. Hollen, attorney for the personal representa-tive. Date of first publica-tion: February 10th, 2021. Jeffrey C. Hollen, OSB #761757 Attorney for Per-sonal Representative 541-574-1630 P.O. Box 1167 615 SW Hurbert Street, Suite A Newport, OR 97365 Douglas E. Rider Personal Representative 2080 SE Ammon Road Toledo, OR 97391. F10 F17 F24 (36-24)

NOTICE AND SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION

PUBLICATION (Dependency); Case No: 21-7-0040-06. SUPE-RIOR COURT OF WASH-INGTON COUNTY OF CLARK JUVENILE DIVI-SION. In re the Interested of: OPPERUD, BABY GIRL DOB: 02/01/2021 Minor Child. TO: Nathan Oppe-rud, Presumed Father, A Dependency Petition was filed on February 2nd, 2021: A Fact Find-ing hearing will be held on this matter on: March 9th, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. at Clark County Superior on this matter on: March 9th, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. at Clark County Superior Court, Family Law Annex, 601 West Evergreen Bivd., Vancouver, WA 98660. YOU SHOULD BE PRESENT AT THIS HEARING. THE HEAR-ING WILL DETERMINE IF YOUR CHILD IS DEPEN-DENT AS DEFINED IN RCW 13.34.050(5). THIS BEGINS A JUDI-CIAL PROCESS WHICH COULD RESULT NPER-MANENT LOSS OF YOUR PARENTAL RIGHTS. IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR AT THE HEARING THE COURT MAY ENTER A DEPENDENCY ORDER IN YOUR ABSENCE. To request a copy of the Notice, Summons, and Dependency Petition, call DCYF at 360-993-7900. To view information about your rights in this por-DCYF at 360-993-7900, To view information about your rights in this pro-ceeding, go to www.atg. wa.gov/DPY. DATED: Feb-ruary 2st, 2021, By Scott G. Weber, Clark County Clerk, F10 F17 F24 (35-24)

24) NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING ON A PARTIAL STREET VACATION; CITY OF NEWPORT This meeting conducted by video-conference and a limited number of people (up to 15) are allowed to attend in person. Please contact the Community Development Depart-ment at the phone num-ber or email listed below for options on how you can participate by video-conference or in person in the hearing. The Newport Planning Commission will hold a public hearing at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, February 22, 2021, in the City Hall Council Cham-bers, to review and make a recommendation to the Newport City Council on

a proposed street vaca-tion (File No. 1-SV-21) as initiated by the City Council at the request of Bret Fox on behalf of 2nd Street Rewport, LLC. The request, which was initiated on November 16, 2020, is to vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Court-house Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commer-cial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers. Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 requires that: (1) The consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) Notice has been duity that: (1) The consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) Notice has been duly given; and (3) The pub-lic interest will not be prejudiced by the vaca-tion of such plat or street or parts thereof. ORS 271.130 provides that a governing body may initi-ate vacation proceedings, and make such vacation without a petition or con-sent of property owners, as long as the owners of a majority of the area affected do not object in writing and the vacation will not substantially affect the market value of abut-ting properties, unless the city governing body pro-vides for paying damages. Testimony and evidence must be directed toward the request above or other criteria, including criteria within the Comprehensive the request above or other criteria, including criteria within the Comprehensive Plan and its implement-ing ordinances, which the person believes to apply to the decision. Failure to raise an issue with suf-ficient specificity to afford the city and the parties an opportunity to respond to that issue precludes an appeal (including to the Land Use Board of Appeals) based on that issue. Testimony may be submitted in written or oral form. Oral testimony and written testimony will be submitted in written or oral form. Oral testimony and written testimony will be taken during the course of the public hearing. The hearing may include a report by staff, testimony from the applicant and proponents, testimony from opponents, rebuttal by applicant, and ques-tions and deliberation by the Planning Commission. Written testimony sent to the Community Develop-ment (Planning) Depart-ment, City Hall, 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365, must be received by 12:00 p.m. (Noon) the day of the hearing to be included, as part of the hearing or must be per-



Graphic for (44-24) 11-10-2044 Tax Lot 4300, approximately 0.59 acres



Graphic for (44-24)

sonally presented during testimony at the public hearing. Pursuant to ORS 197.763 (6), any person prior to the conclusion of the initial public hearing may request a continu-ance of the public hearing or that the record be left open for at least seven days to present additional evidence, arguments, or

testimony regarding the application. The staff report may be reviewed or a copy purchased at the Newport Community Development (Planning) Department (address above) seven days prior to the hearing. The file materials and the appli-cable criteria are currently available for inspection at

no cost or copies may be purchased for reason-able cost at this address. Contact Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director (541) 574-0626 (address above). F5 F12 F17 (30-17)

CITY OF NEWPORT NOTICE OF STREET VACATION

The Newport Planning Commission will hold a public hearing by video-conference on Monday, February 22, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers to take public testimony and hear any objections to a proposal to vacate the portion of SW 2nd Street illustrated below (File No. 1-SV-21). This street vacation proceeding was initiated by the Newport City Council at the request of Bret Fox, representing 2nd Street Newport, LLC. The proposal is to vacate the northerly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC intends to construct a drive through coffee establishment in the vicinity of the Courthouse Café that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new commercial building and construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivers.



Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 allows a vacation to be granted if: (1) the consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) notice has been duly given; and (3) the public interest will not be prejudiced by the proposed vacation. Please contact Derrick Tokos, Community Development Director at (541) 574-0626 for options on how you can participate by video-conference or in-person at the hearing. Written testimony may be sent to the Community Development Department at 169 SW Coast Hwy, Newport, OR 97365. Such testimony must be received by 5:00 p.m. the day of the hearing to be included as part of the hearing or must be personally presented during testimony at the public hearing.

CITY OF NEWPORT NOTICE OF STREET VACATION

The Newpert Planning Commission will hold a public heating by video-conference on Monday, February 22, 2021 or 7000 pm in the City Italia Counted Chamber to take public heatmany objections to a proposal to vacuat the portion of SW 252 speers illusation blocks. J. SN 243. This anset vacuation proceeding was initiated by the Newport City Costell at the respect of Birt Fex, representing 2nd Storet Newport Lin C. The proposal is to vacuat the norther if John of a 14 30nd view and the respect of Birt Fex, representing 2nd Storet Newport Lin C. The proposal is to vacuat the norther if John of a 14 30nd view and Storet and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC Intends to construct a drive through office establishment in the viewing of the Counthaue Cafe that was demolated a samber of years ago. Notating the right-of-way seprench with landscaped areas in a mean with with City standards and makes the tool approach in and on of the property more intuitive to drivers.



Oregan Revised Statutes (ORS) 271.120 allows a reason to be genered ((, f1) the consent of the owners of the resultance area have been obtained, (2) police has been only given and (1) the public interest will not be required by the preparate vectors. Please comer Dense, Yokas, Contensus) Portelpased Director at (S41) 514 and the requirement with the Community Development by solution foreing on the preparate will be the foreing of the



CITY OF NEWPORT NOTICE OF STREET VACATION

The Newport Plasming Commission will hold a public hearing by video-conference on Monday, February 22, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers to take public testimony and hear any objections to a proposal to vacute the portion of SW 2rd Street illustrated below (File No. 1-8V-21). This street vacuum proceeding was initiated by the Newport City Council at the request of Breet Fox, representing 2rd Street Newport, LLC. The proposal is to vacute the nontherly 30-feet of a 3,500+/- sq. ft. segment of the SW 2rd Street right-of-way between SW Angle Street and US 101. Developer, 2nd Street Newport, LLC listends to construct a drive through coffice establishment in the vicinity of the Counthouse Cafe that was demolished a number of years ago. Vacating the right-of-way will give the developer sufficient space to place the new construct a new driveway approach with landscaped areas in a manner that conforms with City standards and makes the road approach in and out of the property more intuitive to drivery.



Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 271120 allows a vacation to be grasted if. (1) the consent of the owners of the requisite area have been obtained; (2) ontice has been daily given, and (3) the public interest will not be projection by the proposed vacation. Please context Derents' Takos, Commanity Development Director at (541) 534-6056 for options on how you can participate by video-conference or imperson at the hearing. Writen outsinery may be next to the Community Development Director at (641) 973-65 Sub testimony must be received by 500 p.m. the day of the bearing to be included as part of the hearing or must be personally presented during testimony at the public hearing.



Today I am writing to you to request you deny the application 1-SV-21 to vacate the street directly in front of the office of my employer.

For over 5 years, I have watch vehicles blow through the intersection, in all 4 directions, with little to no regard for pedestrians or other vehicles. Stop signs merely seem to be a "suggestion" within the City of Newport. My son was struck by an oblivious traveler at the south crosswalk a few years ago, and the crosswalk lights were activated. There is already a "blind corner" from vehicles travelling Southwest through the parking lot, often accelerating and not being cautious of pedestrians on the sidewalk of Angle Street. This is already an incredibly dangerous right-of-way. I have personally witnessed multiple accidents in the proposed development area. Was a traffic study performed?

Anyone who has spent any time in Newport can attest to the fact that traffic will back up in both entrances off Highway 101 at popular coffee kiosks such as Dutch Bros and Human Bean. There are sufficient drive-through coffee establishments here within the City of Newport. Another is not required nor desired.

There seems to be this infectious ideal that every square inch of property at the Coast should be developed; I disagree. The Applicant holds an LLC that is registered in Medford. The use applied for might be welcome there, but it will not be welcome here. The current use of that right-of-way is just fine the way it is. It serves as temporary parking for clients of the Lincoln County Planning Department, landscapers, maintenance providers, and delivery vehicles.

Finally, I'm fearful for the impact it will bring to myself, co-workers and clients who visit this office or use the sidewalk. This is a safety issue where people need to prevail, not dollars.

Thank you,

Josh Bacher

Jon .

Newport, OR 97365

02/12/2

February 14, 2021

Planning Commission of the City of Newport,

I am a concerned property owner at the corner of SW 2nd and Angle Street and find this partial street vacation, File No. 1-SV-21, difficult to accept. Based on the information indicated on the Notice of Public Hearing, I think the City would be giving up any opportunity to make the intersection at SW 2nd Street and Highway 101 wider and safer, if ever needed. I've been a property owner at this location for over 35 years and have seen many close calls, with vehicles and pedestrians. This street and intersection is one of the busiest, in Newport. It's probably the most used route to the Post Office. The sketch you've enclosed on the notice of Street Vacation is misleading. To better understand the proposal, the drawing should show the proposed improvements (showing ingress and egress), the existing street improvements (edge of asphalt, curbs, etc.), existing utilities in relation to the proposed improvements and current ownership of the affected areas, to say the least. If a drive thru coffee shop is allowed, it has the potential for traffic being backed up on the highway, as well as congestion into the retail shopping center and the County's parking lot. Look no further then at Dutch Bros., further south along Highway 101. This is also nearby the corner where the Farmer's Market is located on Saturdays. During those times, there is already congestion with cars and pedestrians. This would make the situation even more dangerous.

I understand that the coffee shop plan may be an outright use, but for the City to allow the entire street to be vacated is not a good idea. The City needs to anticipate any future improvements, of that intersection and/or other options. Once the vacation is given and the coffee shop and drive thru improvements are made, it could be too late for any future street changes. What happens if the coffee shop and drive thru fail? The street vacation is still there. For these reasons, I urge you to deny this partial street vacation!!

Thank You,

Russ Johnson



February 22, 2021

Michael C. Robinson Admitted in Oregon

T: 503-796-3756 C: 503-407-2578 mrobinson@schwabe.com

VIA E-MAIL

Mr. Jim Patrick, Chair Newport Planning Commission Newport City Hall 169 SW Coast Highway Newport, OR 97365

RE: City of Newport Case File 1-SV-21, Request to Vacate a Portion of SW 2nd Street between SW Angle Street and US Highway 101; Letter Supporting the Street Vacation

Dear Chair Patrick and Members of the Newport Planning Commission:

This office represents Bret Fox and 2nd Street Newport, LLC ("Bret Fox"). Mr. Fox is the only abutting property owner of the commercial area (Staff Report Attachment "F") north of the portion of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way proposed to be vacated (Staff Report Attachment "C").

1. <u>Introduction</u>.

As explained in the Staff Report, the Newport City Council (the "City Council") initiated the vacation of the north half of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way under ORS 271.130(2) at its public meeting on November 16, 2020 after taking public testimony. No one testified in opposition to the City Council's initiation of the street vacation. Mr. Fox testified in favor of the initiation of street vacation.

The street vacation will facilitate new development by Mr. Fox on his property and will leave the south half of the right-of-way in place. Mr. Fox has agreed to landscape and maintain the area proposed to be vacated, the remaining right-of-way and the triangular area owned by the Oregon Department of Transportation ("ODOT") south of the SW 2nd Street right-of-way, resulting in an attractive landscaped area as viewed by the public.

This letter explains why the Newport Planning Commission (the "Planning Commission") should follow the Staff Report recommendation and recommend to the City Council that it vacate the north half of the right-of-way. Mr. Fox agrees with the Staff Report findings and its recommendation that the Planning Commission find that the street vacation approval criteria have been met and that a utility easement be retained by the City in the area to be vacated.

Mr. Jim Patrick, Chair February 22, 2021 Page 2

SW 2nd Street formerly connected to US Highway 101 but as explained in the Staff Report, the rerouting of the highway resulted in the closure of the intersection of SW 2nd Street and US Highway 101. The street now serves as access only to Mr. Fox's development and for parking. Because of the alignment of the highway and ODOT rules governing local street access to state highways, SW 2nd Street will remain in its current configuration.

2. ORS Chapter 271 Governs Street Vacations.

Street vacations are governed by ORS Chapter 271. A street vacation can be started by a property owner or a city. In this case, the City Council initiated this street vacation because it found its policy for doing so was satisfied. The Staff Report at Page 3 explains this process and the City Council's findings. Mr. Fox submitted a letter to the City Council explaining how the City Council's policies for initiating a street vacation were satisfied (Staff Report Attachment "A").

3. <u>The Approval Criteria for this City-Council Initiated Street Vacation are Satisfied.</u>

The approval criteria for this City Council-initiated street vacation are different from a property owner-initiated vacation. ORS 271.130(1) provides that this City Council-initiated street vacation can be approved if the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

A. <u>If the City provides the required newspaper publication and on-site</u> posting notice of the street vacation hearing.

The Staff Report at Page 5 and Attachment "H" explains that the City timely provided the required notices.

B. If owners of the majority of the "affected area" as defined in ORS 271.080(2) have not objected in writing to the street vacation and if abutting property owners have not alleged that the vacation will substantially affect the market value of their properties.

Although two persons have submitted letters opposing the street vacation, while the authors of those letters may own property within the "affected area," they are not abutting property owners to the area proposed to be vacated. Mr. Fox is within the "affected area" and is the only abutting property owner and he supports the street vacation.

C. If the street vacation does not prejudice the public interest.

As the Staff Report explains, it's unclear if this standard applies to a street vacation initiated by a City Council because while it is an express approval criteria for a property owner-initiated street vacation under ORS 271.080(1) and ORS 271.120, the standard is not listed in ORS 271.130(1) and that section only references the notice provisions in ORS 271.080(2). However, for purposes

Mr. Jim Patrick, Chair February 22, 2021 Page 3

of this hearing, the Planning Commission can find that there is no prejudice to the public interest standard and the standard is met.

The Staff Report at Page 5 explains why the standard is met. Mr. Fox agrees with this analysis. Additionally, the public interest is not prejudiced by vacating a street which does not serve a useful transportation purpose. By vacating a portion of the right-of-way, the City encourages additional development and increases its *ad valorem* tax base. Because Mr. Fox's property is the only property abutting the area proposed to be vacated, the public interest is not prejudiced by adversely affecting a property owner's access to a public street.

4. <u>The Two Letters Opposing the Street Vacation do not Contain Reasons to Deny the</u> <u>Street Vacation</u>.

Both letters may be from property owners within the affected area but they do not constitute the ownership of a majority of the affected area property and neither author is an abutting property owner.

First, neither letter addresses the approval criteria in ORS 271.130(2) for a street vacation. The approval criteria must be the basis for the Planning Commission's recommendation to the City Council.

Second, both letters contain factual mistakes. The proposed street vacation is for only half the right-of-way, not the entire right-of-way (Staff Report Attachment "C"). Additionally, Staff Report Attachment "E" shows that the proposed drive-through coffee kiosk will not have access to US Highway 101 and vehicle queuing will be on private property, not on a public street. The proposed development that will follow the street vacation will not be a cause of any safety issue nor will it affect public safety.

Third, neither letter identifies a public interest that is prejudiced by the street vacation, assuming that this standard applies. The parking that occurs on the street is not required parking for any near-by use and the right-of-way's purpose is not for temporary parking. By vacating the right-of-way, the City will further economic development and *ad valorem* tax revenues. There is no evidence in the record that SW 2nd Street can be connected to, or will ever connect to, US Highway 101. Neither ODOT nor City staff object to the street vacation for this reason.

Finally, the street vacation is not a land use hearing. While it may be difficult to distinguish between the street vacation criteria and future land use development issues, the Planning Commission should do so because the street vacation approval criteria do not address land use issues.

5. <u>Conclusion</u>.

For the reasons contained in the Staff Report and this letter, the Planning Commission can find that this street vacation meets the approval criteria for a City Council-initiated street vacation.

Mr. Jim Patrick, Chair February 22, 2021 Page 4

Mr. Fox requests that the Planning Commission recommend approval of the street vacation to the City Council.

Very truly yours,

Mutual C Palm

Michael C. Robinson

MCR:jmhi

cc: Mr. Derrick Tokos (*via email*) Mr. Brett Fox (*via email*) Mr. Brian Vandetta (*via email*)

PDX\136888\257225\MCR\30210675.1



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

210 S. W. 2nd ST Newport, OR 97365 (541) 265-4192 Fax (541) 265-6945

TO: Derrick Tokos, Planning Director City of Newport

RE: Lincoln County Response to 1-SV-21

February 22, 2021

Lincoln County received notice from the City of Newport regarding a request for the City Council to initiate a vacation of a portion of SW 2nd Street situated between SW Angle and US Highway 101.

The applicant seeks to develop a drive-through coffee business next to US Highway 101. The applicant, through this vacation process, would gain space to construct private driveway approaches to serve (exclusively) their proposed coffee drive-through business.

Lincoln County has reservations and concerns about the proposed vacation.

The right-of-way (ROW) in question, for years, and today, is heavily utilized by the public. It provides, among other things, parking for people accessing Lincoln County's Department of Planning and Development. And, on weekends, during the summers, the right-of-way provides public parking for Saturday Market.

In addition, individuals using this space, at present (for parking) are contractors, realtors, and members of the public doing business with the County's Planning and Development Department. People can make quick stops, drop off or pick up plans, or otherwise confer with County staff. And, when the weather is nice, people stop there to have conversations.

And, increasingly, that right-of-way experiences large amounts of pedestrian usage unrelated to the County facilities. People walking along US Highway 101 often pause there (many use public transportation directly across the highway). And, on both sides of the highway, this public area is increasingly being used as a public square. Citizens congregate there to communicate social-political views with the public. With all that mind, I wish to draw attention to a statement in the Staff Report. The Staff report (on page 1 and page 2) provides, "In this case, the subject segment of SW 2nd Street has long been isolated form the public street system and no longer serves that function. Its only real purpose is to serve as a driveway access to Mr. Fox's (*the applicant's*) commercial site."

That statement, in the staff report, is factually incorrect. The statement, though, is correct in one respect. That right-of-way is not a thru-street. But, as we explain above, many other truly important "real purposes" are being served at that strategic public location.

This matter should be placed into a broader context. These days, many cities, including the City of Newport, are taking steps to enhance pedestrian experiences. In Nye Beach, for instance, the City of Newport accomplished outstanding work to improve streetscapes. The City of Newport also made noteworthy improvements to the pedestrian/bike experience along US Highway 101. All these improvements have made the community better and safer.

A choice, though, to approve the vacation of this public right-of-way, at this strategic location, for a private purpose (driveways for a drive-through coffee shop), runs counter to what the City has achieved in recent years to create/enhance streetscapes in Newport.

The burden of proof, of course, falls upon the applicant. After reading the public notice and the staff report, I believe the applicant failed to provide substantial evidence on the record that the public interest would be served by vacating this public right of way. And, a vacation of that right-of-way, as proposed, would be detrimental to the operations of Lincoln County.

As I noted above, this public space, proposed for vacation, is gaining in public significance. For that reason, it would make sense to undertake a public discussion, employing skilled experts and the community at large, to examine how we can work together to make this strategically significant public space in Newport reach its full potential.

I request the record remain open for seven days.

Thank you.

Onno Husing DIRECTOR, DEPARMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

Page 2 of 2

Tentative Planning Commission Work Program (Scheduling and timing of agenda items is subject to change)



January 11, 2021

Work Session

 Initial Review of Land Use Code Amendments to Implement HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and Cottage Cluster Standards (Carried over from 12-13-20 work session).

January 11, 2021

January 25, 2021

Regular Session

Organizational Meeting (Elect Chair and Vice-Chair)

Work Session

Discuss Central Lincoln PUD Comments on City's Draft Small Cell Wireless ROW Regulations

 File 5-Z-20 Second Review of Adjustments to Large Wireless and Other Telecommunications Land Use Standards. Will include Provisions for Small Wireless Facilities Outside of the Right-of-Way

January 25, 2021

Regular Session

 File 5-Z-20 Initiate Large Wireless and Other Telecommunications Land Use Standard Legislative Amendments. Will include Provisions for Small Wireless Facilities Outside of the Right-of-Way

February 8, 2021

Work Session

- File 1-CP-17, Review Results from Nov/Jan TSP Outreach, Next Steps
- Second Review of Land Use Code Amendments to Implement HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and Cottage Cluster Standards
- Goal Setting Discussion for FY 2021/2022

February 8, 2021

Regular Session

• Initiate Legislative Process to Amend Land Use Regulations to Implement HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and **Cottage Cluster Standards**

February 22, 2021	Work Session (Cancelled)
February 22, 2021	Regular Session

Hearing File 1-SV-21, Vacation of a Portion of SW 2nd Street between SW Angle and US 101 (firm)

March 8, 2021	Work Session
iviai (110, 2021	

- Review Council Goals for FY 2021 / 2022
- Concepts for Distribution of Affordable Housing CET Funds

March 8, 2021 Regular Session

• TBD

Work Session March 22, 2021

- Initial Review of Draft Revisions to Transportation Standards in NMC Chapters 13 and 14 Related to Transportation System Plan Update
- Initial Discussion about Code Options for Lifting Restrictions on the Operation of Food Carts (Council Goal)

March 22, 2021

Regular Session

 Hearing on File 5-Z-20, Amending NMC Chapter 14 for Large Wireless and Other Telecommunications Land Use Standards, including provisions for small wireless outside ROWs (firm)

Tentative Planning Commission Work Program (Scheduling and timing of agenda items is subject to change)



April 12, 2021 Work Session Yaquina Bay Estuary Management Plan Update (Presentation/Discussion) Review DLCD/City Evaluation of Beach Access Points Prioritized for Resiliency Retrofit April 12, 2021 **Regular Session** Hearing on File 4-Z-20 Implementing HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and Cottage Cluster Standards Work Session April 26, 2021 • Overview of Upcoming May Prioritization Round of TSP Outreach Second Review of Draft Revisions to Transportation Standards in NMC Chapters 13 and 14 Related to Transportation System plan Update April 26, 2021 **Regular Session** Design Review Hearing on Hallmark's Whaler Motel Expansion (Placeholder) Reserve for Second Hearing on HB 2001 Duplex, Townhouse, and Cottage Cluster Standards May 10, 2021 Work Session Status Update SB / US 101 Corridor Refinement Plan Review Initial Draft of Code Amendments Related to Operation of Food Carts May 10, 2021 **Regular Session** TBD Work Session May 24, 2021 May 24, 2021 **Regular Session** Second Round of TSP Outreach to Prioritize and Rank Solutions to be Scheduled for Mid-Late May. Could result in this meeting be cancelled. June 14, 2021 Work Session Second Review of Draft Code Amendments Related to Food Carts Review TGM Grant Application to Update Land Use Regulations along US 101/20 Corridor and Develop Business Façade Improvement Program to Complement Recommendations in the TSP (App Due in July)

June 14, 2021

Regular Session

• Initiate Legislative Process to Amend the Newport Zoning Ordinance Related to Food Carts

June 28, 2021

Work Session

• Land Use, Building, and Urban Renewal Bill Summary from 2021 Legislative Session

• Initial Review of Draft Transportation System Plan Composite Document

June 28, 2021 Regular Session