

Sherry Marineau

From: Derrick Tokos
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 9:51 AM
To: Sherry Marineau
Subject: FW: A "Memo" related to our Parking Meeting on Wednesday

Please post this email as well.

Derrick

From: Laura [mailto:laura@localocean.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 9:40 AM
To: cris torp <cristorp1@hotmail.com>; Janet Webster <janet.webster@charter.net>; Gary Ripka <gripka@charter.net>; 'sharon@actionnet.net' <sharon@actionnet.net>; 'Linda Niegabauer' <lindaraen@charter.net>; 'Kathy Cleary' <kathyc1123@gmail.com>; 'Jody George' <jodyymar@yahoo.com>; Bill Bain <bill@yaquina.com>; 'Frank Geltner' <frank@newportnet.com>; 'Tom McNamara' <tom@oceanpulsesurf.com>; 'Bill Branigan' <phantom41@gmail.com>; 'jjackey@portofnewport.com' <jjackey@portofnewport.com>; 'abretz@portofnewport.com' <abretz@portofnewport.com>; 'cbruce@co.lincoln.or.us' <cbruce@co.lincoln.or.us>; Spencer Nebel <S.Nebel@NewportOregon.gov>; Tim Gross <T.Gross@NewportOregon.gov>; 'Brian Davis' <brian@lancasterengineering.com>; Sherry Marineau <S.Marineau@NewportOregon.gov>; Derrick Tokos <D.Tokos@NewportOregon.gov>; Sandra Roumagoux <dvr.snr@charter.net>
Subject: RE: A "Memo" related to our Parking Meeting on Wednesday

Janet and Chris

Thank you for compiling these observations and comments. I look forward to discussion tomorrow. In particular, I would like to echo my concern regarding your last point in the section titled Final Thoughts.

Specifically, what is the future for business in Newport (whether existing or new or re-development) if the parking districts expire? Or alternatively the districts are extended?

In my short 12 years as a business owner on the Bayfront I have seen and been subject to three differing paradigms for parking.

- payment in lieu of as a \$175 per space per year (as per Ord. # 1308/2010)
- payment in lieu of as a \$7500 one-time business license surcharge (as per Ord. #2013)
- no option for payment in lieu of with the only option being a direct requirement for creation of 8 new parking spaces to permit our upstairs expansion- (the cost of which was so disconcerting I'd rather not discuss) (as per Ord. #2020)

In my opinion, the uncertainty surrounding the future cost to business is an impediment to new and re-development on the Bayfront.

My understanding is that the districts expire at the end of FY 2017/2018- presumably June 30, 2018. We should be working to provide clarity and certainty for business owners regarding the City's intentions for the requirement to provide parking.

In all the discussion of metering and consulting studies I have yet to find any clarity on this issue.

Laura Anderson

Local Ocean

From: cris torp [<mailto:cristorp1@hotmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 2:19 PM

To: Janet Webster <janet.webster@charter.net>; Laura <laura@localocean.net>; Gary Ripka <gripka@charter.net>; 'sharon@actionnet.net' <sharon@actionnet.net>; 'Linda Niegebauer' <lindaraen@charter.net>; 'Kathy Cleary' <kathyc1123@gmail.com>; 'Jody George' <jodyymar@yahoo.com>; Bill Bain <bill@yaquina.com>; 'Frank Geltner' <frank@newportnet.com>; 'Tom McNamara' <tom@oceanpulsesurf.com>; 'Bill Branigan' <phantom41@gmail.com>; 'jlackey@portofnewport.com' <jlackey@portofnewport.com>; 'abretz@portofnewport.com' <abretz@portofnewport.com>; 'cbruce@co.lincoln.or.us' <cbruce@co.lincoln.or.us>; Spencer Nebel <S.Nebel@NewportOregon.gov>; Tim Gross <T.Gross@NewportOregon.gov>; 'Brian Davis' <brian@lancasterengineering.com>; Sherri Marineau <S.Marineau@NewportOregon.gov>; Derrick Tokos <d.tokos@newportoregon.gov>; Sandra Roumagoux <dvr.snr@charter.net>

Subject: A "Memo" related to our Parking Meeting on Wednesday

Hello Everyone,

For the past two weeks or better, Janet Webster and I have been trying to develop a "position paper" regarding our concerns, some findings and proposed recommendations regarding some of the parking issues facing the City of Newport. The attached has been sent back and forth between us--with individual comments being bandied about--in our effort to present a unified, coherent presentation. However, we have *not* discussed how to "defend" the paper, as, we have our own thoughts about things and do not share total agreement on some of that which is presented. We apologize for its rather late arrival into the discussion planned for this Wednesday, October 18.

To summarize: Both Janet and I have reached the conclusion that the City has a "congestion" problem and not necessarily a "parking" one. We both agree that, on the whole, much of the solution involves better parking enforcement, some re-designing/re-assigning, creating several new--and as yet to be inventoried--spots as well as some potential/trial metering in many of the public lots throughout each district. We both agree that metering in one district may place that district at some--psychological and/or financial--disadvantage. We also share the belief that there ought to be a future iteration of the "special districts" (not necessarily in their current design or mandate) so that future parking and/or congestion matters have a format within which to be heard, discussed and resolutions put forward. [There is also a short Addendum which focuses principally on the bay front and several unique issues found therein.]

cris torp